AM 1930 bur c.2

BURDEN

#### BOSTON UNIVERSITY CHENERY LIBRARY

Regulations for the Use of Manuscript Theses

Unpublished theses submitted for the Master's and Doctor's degrees and deposited in the Boston University Chenery Library are open for inspection, but are to be used only with due regard to the rights of the authors. Bibliographical references may be noted, but passages may be copied only with the permission of the author, and proper credit must be given in subsequent written or published work. Extensive copying or publication of the thesis in whole or in part requires also the consent of the Dean of the Graduate School of Boston University.

A library which borrows this thesis for use by its patrons is expected to secure the signature of each user.

NAME and ADDRESS of USER

BORROWING LIBRARY DATE

# BOSTON UNIVERSITY GRADUATE SCHOOL

Thesis

JESUS THE HISTORICAL

Submitted by
Sylvia James Burden
(A.B., Albion, 1925)

In partial fulfilment of requirements for the degree of Master of

Arts

1930

Get.

p6386

BOSTON UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS

378.744 BO A.M. 1930

# JESUS THE HISTORICAL

Thesis -

To examine some of the data offered as proof that Jesus was an historical character; likewise the chief arguments

presented by those who deny such historicity and to observe some answers to these negative arguments; also to show, in

some measure, the kind of Character Jesus was.

### Introduction

I. Purpose to examine evidence of belief in the Historical Jesus.

A. Evidences.

- 1. Scriptural.
- 2. Non-scriptural.
- II. The practical importance seen in new emphases on Jesus in modern Christianity.
- III. It is not a ridiculous question.

Main Body of the Thesia

- I. The Evidence for the historical Jesus. 3.
  - A. Evidence of early writers outside the canon. 3.
    - 1. Material is scanty.
    - 2. Testimony found in Roman writers.
      - a. Tacitus.
      - b. Suetonius.
      - c. Pliny.

alberte.

entering the control of the Cate of the cate of the control of the cate of the

## no i fou coeran!

1. Purcose to execute syldence of belief in the Historical .

A. Hydaennes.

I. Serioturel.

2. Hon-sertatural.s

is noticed the district tent to you emphase on leave to the motor of the section of the section

III. In ant a ridiculous question.

Risour of the Their State

I. The Sylands for the plateclast Schut.

A. Mulderge of early wilters muchle the annex. S.

I. Motorick is gooding.

2. generatory found to Homen weltens.

GUITONT . D

b. Sustanine.

o. Bling.

3. Testimony of Jewish writings. 8.	
a. The Talmud. 9.	
b. Tol'doth Yeshu. 10.	
c. Antiquities of Josephus. 10.	
4. Testimony of Agrapha, Apocrypha, and	
Apostolic Fathers. 12.	
5. Value of the testimony to the historici	Lty
of Jesus.	
a. Not contradictory.	
b. As valid as evidence for other chara	acters
not questioned.	
B. Pauline evidence for the historicity of Jesus.	16.
1. Genuineness of the letters.	
a. External Evidence.	
(1) Clement.	
(2) Marcion.	
(3) Ignatius.	
b. Internal evidence.	17.
(1) No marks of being written by a	
pseudonymous person.	18.
(2) The minute details of biograph;	У
naturally given.	
(3) Paul's personality rings true.	
2. The knowledge of Jesus betrayed in the le	tter. 19.
a. Few references to birth, suffering,	cruci-
fixion and resurrection.	20.
b. Not necessary for Paul to give more	in his
age.	

O. Tostinony of Josiah spitings. \* FI 10 turistic mach 187 .ul \*01 . and sect to sellingline .s Appendite Telephone. 5. Value of the continuer to the sancoutette . mrant 10 3. Janiline Syldenne for the plantaring of Jane. 10. 1. dentification of the levicine. . menalo (I) 17. 2. The knowledge of Jeans betrayed in the latter, 19.

\* 300 10

c. Paul had had intimate reactions with		
the Master's disciples.	21.	
d. Not likely that Paul would deliberately		
create the character of Jesus. 22	2.	
5. Charges against Pauline evidence. 23	5.	
a. No adequate proof for denial of authent	lcity.	
b. Some hold that the letters are a result	of	
reworking.		
c. Paul's Christianity said to be nothing	nore	
than an Adonis cult.		
4. Conclusion - Historicity of Jesus was a prerequ	aisite	
to Paul's Christian life and work.		
C. The evidence for Jesus in the Synoptic Gospels.	24.	
1. Radicals contend that gospels are worthless.		
2. Examination of evidence for genuineness of good	spels.	
a. Internal proof.	25.	
b. External proof.	27.	
3. The historical connection in which gospel materials		
took shape.	30.	
a. The Synoptic Problem.		
b. Approximate dates of Gospels.	33.	
c. The setting.	34.	
4. The testimony concerning Jesus.	35.	
a. Representation of Jesus realistic.		
(1) Especially in earlier stages of		
tradition.		

note montained and tot bad bad tong .a
.18 .molologic disciples.
d. Hot likely that rent would deliberately
oreste the character of Jenos. 23.
3. Charges agoing Pauline ovidence. 23.
stationaling to faints for form of angels of . a
to finance a one amoutof and that bloc money of
- Surface and -
o. roul's Unristiantly said to be nothing some
than an Adonts cult.
taluperano a ana amast to vilotorista - notamicaco .)
to Faul's Contetten life and work.
C. The evidence for Jeans to the Synoptic despois, 24.
l. Hadingle contend that not nest worthloss.
Legner, to mannestunes not conceptive to nettenhear . S.
A. Internal moof.
.vg .Toom Lamenta .d
introduction former delice at meltocomes Instructed adf .5.
took shape.
a. The Synaptic Problem.
b. Approximate dates of Gospela.
.ac The sections of .n
4. The testing concenting forms.
a. Hepressmintion of Jesus manifetic.

- (2) Jesus does not make the impression on contemporaries that later writers would have wished.
  - (3) Some things not creditable to Jesus were recorded.
  - b. Jesus historicity never doubted by early critics.
  - c. Further evidence of Jesus' existence may be revealed in character of teaching. 37.
  - d. Existence of group of believers is a strong argument.
- 5. Conclusion The historical Jesus is necessary to the new religion- Christianity. 40.
- D. Gospel of John of no vital importance to discussion. 41.
  - 1. Historical character too much a matter of debate.
  - 2. It adds nothing of vital importance.

# II. Portraits of Jesus. 44.

A. Even though Jesus' historicity established question still remains, what kind of a character do we have?

- B. Specific pictures.
- 1. Traditional Jesus.
  - a. Chief emphasis here on his divinity.
    - b. Result a miracle working, pre-existent all-knowing Christ.

46.

- (2) Janua does not make the impression at the later writers would have wished.
  - (4) Notes things not produceble to Jeaus
- is, Jewes toletoricity never doubted by surly articles.
- o. Further evidence of Josus' existance may
  be revealed in character of to enter. DV.
  d. Existence of group of believers to a strong

.88

. 55

- to the sew religion. Cortationity.
- 1. Histories of rom of no vitel importance to discossion. 41.

  1. Histories onerader too much a matter of debate.

  2. It side nothing of vitel importance.
  - II. Postruite of June.
  - actil remains, west itled of a character to se have?
    - 1. Trudicional dama.
    - to Result a stracte working, pre-existent

2.	The	Jesus of the Radical Critic.	48.
	a.	Created by application of scientific	3-
		historical methods to gospels and re	3-

b. Characteristic Pictures.

ligious laterature.

- (1) The Mythical Jesus. 49.
- (2) The Jesus of the Pathographer. 55.
- (3) The purely human Jesus. 60.
- 3. The Jesus of the liberal theologian. 61.
  - a. The supernatural elements of gospel story not considered fundamental.
  - b. Not supernatural in traditional sense- Godconsciousness a growth.

## Summary

# I. Historical Evidence valid.

64.

- A. Extra-canonical evidence bears out gospel records.64.
- B. Pauline works historical and based on historical figure.
- C. Gospels are historical documents.
- 1. Substantiated by internal and external evidence.

# II. Portraits of Jesus.

66.

- A. Depend on point of view.
  - 1. Supernatural where emphasis put oh supernatural elements of gospels.
- B. More human where documents are viewed critically. 67.

  III. Evidence while scanty as complete as might be expected.67.

2. The Jones of the Radion of solentife.

o. Greated by appliantion of solentife.

interested in methods to gospale and re-

- armself olsekasaamin .d

(1) The Hythian Jenus, 49.

(2) The Jenus of the Pathographer. 58.

(3) The carely branch Joseph. SO.

J. The Jasus of the Liberal theologism. . . .

The supernatural elements of court all all

-bed -owen femalathers at Leasterners and ad

Street street

I. Historical Syldence valid.

. 10. chroom ferror has a room sometimes Indicated and A. S. Sarra and Co. Co.

De Pauline works inferentent and based on wistoriaal figures.

. shemmach tentunikin ern 21 m and 13

I. Mobiling antifered by Contempol and extension and contempolicy.

II. Sortraits of Meson.

A. Depend on noise of wlaw.

I department of some analysis of administration of administration

H. North limits where documents are viewed orlifonity. GV.

h

#### JESUS THE HISTORICAL.

#### Introduction.

The purpose of such an exposition as this is to set forth some data as a reasonable basis for acceptance of belief in the existence of an historical Jesus.

Recognizing that traditional faith is not valid evidence, we approach the problem by an attempt at a fair minded examination of the chief documents, both scriptural and non-scriptural, setting forth the figure of Jesus. In this presentation we shall be critical observing the chief arguments purporting to disqualify the best evidence.

The practical importance of such a consideration is evident when one thinks of the place of Jesus in modern Christianity, which is becoming more increasingly Christ-ocentric. Some of the chief emphases of the Reformation were Pauline so far as their sources were concerned, likewise much of the authority of Modern Christianity has been found in the Old Testament. Today the cry is "Back to Christ." Jesus becomes our chief authority for the character of God. All of this gives us a fresh interest in the question of the Historical Jesus.

Some may think it ridiculous to raise the question

### JANUARY RESTAURANT

## .noldedboudge

and auripturel, metaled forth has flower of Jesus, in . complies tood out will acoust of anticompany almost acide michail at an and to apply one to market one down tracking condition but it amanages lable out to seed of these in the wanting of the William Interes.

continuous and makes of accluatain at smint you ore:

of Jesus' historicity, but the very fact that scholars do raise this question, and mean to be taken seriously, causes us to go into the matter deeply to see what grounds there are for our belief or these doubts. Drews says "Since David Frederick Strauss in his 'Life of Jesus' attempted for the first time to trace the gospel stories and accounts of miracles back to myths and pious fictions, doubts regarding the existence of an historical Jesus have never been lulled to rest." He adds that it has been reserved to the presentd ay (1910) to attain to more startling results.

As we have no eye witness who ever saw Jesus, and we do not possess the written statement of anyone who did, we are forced to rely upon such records of his activities as seem from the most careful examination to be reliable. Some of this evidence is indirect or what is usually called circumstantial. It is quite generally agreed that circumstantial evidence is admissable when the circumstances are so related to each other as to convince a reasonable man. The most important element involved is the examination of the various records. There are so many technical questions here that no untrained person could be competent to offer

<sup>1.</sup> Drews-The Christ Myth p.7.

of them? Distinction, and ween to be taken minimized to return this continue of the and ween to be taken arrivally. It sees that grounds there are for our belief or those doubts. Drows says "blood for our belief or those doubts. Drows says attended for the first in his "life of the gaspel stories attended for the first to these the gaspel stories and accounts of almeadan book to myths end place fistions, and the days to myths end place fistions, and the days the days to myths the the best form them the days for the man, " He said that the hear been received to the present of (1810) to ettain to more

I. Prous-The Charles byth p. F.

an opinion as to what may be relied upon, we must therefore make use of the most expert testimony we can find.

In criticizing the sources we find we have a double task; first, to ascertain what the sources are, from what time they date, from whom they come; and second, to form an opinion as to their value. We shall now consider the evidence.

The evidence for the historical Jesus.

A. Evidence of early writers outside the canon.

we are somewhat embarassed at the scantiness of material which we find when we search early writings for proof of Jesus' earthly existence, and yet after due deliberation, we are not surprised. To us, who live almost 2,000 years after Jesus, his life because of the resulting movement of Christianity is the greatest event of all time, but we must remember that in the early days it was important only to the Christians themselves, and that the rest of the people of the Roman world looked upon Christianity as just an insignificant sect within Judaism itself, not worthy of mention. The secular historians had no interest in writing about the various religions of the time unless the adherents were particularly hostile to the state, therefore the casual

on solution as % a state may be relied upon, so must therefore unter one of the sout dayper tentiments so one first.

In articlating the sequence we find we have a design to task; first, to sequence and the sources, true task; first show they come; and second, to from an opinion as to their value, we chall now consider the avidence.

The syldence for the instantiant leave.

material which we find when we meaned early existing for materials which we find when we meaned early existence for an accordant for the sense of the recolding \$2,000 years after lease, his life because of the recolding accordant of Christianity is the greatest event of all time, but we must recomber that in the darly days it was important only to the Christians in the sense of the first the rest of the feedile of the forms world indeed upon Christianity or lust on the destine first the sense of the first time and the sense in artitle of the sense of the time unless the adherental about the verticals of the first time unless the adherental about the verticals of the time unless the adherental about the verticals of the time unless the adherental about the verticals of the time unless the adherental about the verticals of the time unless the adherental about the verticals of the time unless the adherental about the particularly bootile to the state, therefore the case of the time and the time where the case of the time and the time where the case of the time at the case of the c

Roman observers did not perceive any necessity for treatment of the Christians whose attitude was about the same as the Jewish position and the Jews for some time had been viewed with suspicion for refusal to identify themselves with 1. Roman society.

2. Testimony found in Roman writers.

Though the references here are few, they are worthy of our attention. Burkitt would give to Tacitus the honor of being the only one, independently of Christian tradition, to give us any information about the life and career of Jesus of Nazareth. More recent writers have mentioned others, some of whom we will consider later, but Tacitus at least seems to bear unequivocal testimony to the existence of the Jesus of gospel history even though by his testimony he shows his unfriendliness toward the Christians. Rhees says "The Roman's scornful judgment failed to grasp the nature and power of the movement whose unpopularity invited Nero's lying accusation, yet it emphasizes the significance of him who did not strive. nor cry, nor cause his voice to be heard in the street. whose influence, nevertheless, was working as leaven throughout the empire." A translation of Tacitus!

<sup>1.</sup> Case-Historicity of Jesus p.242.

<sup>2.</sup> Burkitt-Earliest Sources for the Life of Jesus p.1.

<sup>3.</sup> Rhees-The Life of Jesus of Nazareth p.3.

Rows observers did not perceive our needspity for treatment of the Christians whose skillings was about the same as the Jouish position and the Jens for some time had been viewed with sampleion for refunal to identify themselves with Ross mackety.

. Sending round in Rocks writers.

Trought the references here are few, toky use worthy of our ottention. Buttitt would give to Tadition ton house of being the only one, independently of Oppietion tradition, Denolders over agether propert with description to sugar outless for another than the will consider total and the statem the test impay he shows his universelliness tone all Christians, shees says "The Rosen's sometal indigent fellnor cry. . . or cause his voice to be heard in the street. whose influence, neverthelass, was working as leaven terrological the empire." A tremalation of Juckynerics

<sup>1.</sup> Case-Historicity of Jesus p.242.

<sup>2.</sup> Burdito-Enrilant Sources for the old one of January of January

definite statement in his Annals written about 115-117 reads thus:

"The originator of that name, one Christus, had been executed in the reign of Tiberius by order of the administrator - Pontius Pilate."

Here Pontius Pilate occupies the same place that he occuring in the church's creed, he marks the date of the Crucifixion.

ence we must determine whether it can be condidered as a genuine part of the original author's work, or whether it is an interpolation by the hands of some Christian copier. Hochart, one French scholar, who is very skeptical would assign not only the sentence and chapter here in question but a much greater portion of the Annals to the pen of Poggio Bacciolini who brought to the light our most important manuscript of the annals. Hochart, with his extreme skepticism, has failed to win any substantial following.

The definite arguments against the genuineness of the statement quoted above are: that in writing of Tiber-ius, Tacktus would have been expected to use the word princeps and not imperitante, and also in writing - per procuratorem Pontium Pilatum no statement is given as to where he is procurator. In regards to the first, while we

<sup>1.</sup> Tacitus-Annals 15:44 - From Burkitt op.cit. p.1. 2. Case-op.cit. p.247.

Pil-all scode negative alegan via al successor asialleb

noted in the character of the marker the date of the court in an and the character of the characterism.

Herene we sent determine them it out be condidered as a constance we sent determine the desire of the entire of the sent of now themselves of the entire of the sent of now desired and the entire of the entire of

int, faction sould have been expended to use the word or ordered and entered and entered and entered and entered and entered and electron or to the clear or to the clear, while we share in it important or, in the responding to the first, while we

<sup>1.</sup> Twaiting-Appeals 15:04 - Iron purkitt op.cit. p.1.

might have expected him to use the term princeps we can not prove that he did, or that he would not have used imperitante, for this same word is found in other of his writings. The second contention - per procuratorem Pontium Pilatum - is thought to need some explanation as to where Pilate was governor, but a sentence follows close-ly saying that the malady sprang from Judea.

If we do accept these as genuine we have yet to prove that they are independent of gospel tradition. We know that the gospel tradition had taken form by the year 115 A.D. and had been broadcast over the Roman empire. Case does not think that Tacitus reliability suffers in any way by admitting that he may have had his information from current Christian tradition, but Klausner thinks that Tacitus would have had greater value if written earlier than 75 years after the 2. event.

The Roman historian Suctonius (65-135) is very obscure in his reference to Christianity. In his lives of the Twelve Caesars, from Julius Caesar to Domitian, (written about 120 A.D.) he says that Claudius "banished from Rome the Jews who made great tumult because of Chrestus."

We know that the names Chrestus and Christus have been confused, but we are sure too that Jesus could not have

<sup>1.</sup> Case-op.cit. p.249.

<sup>2.</sup> Klausner-Jesus of Nazareth p.60.

<sup>3.</sup> Caaudius 25 Cited by Klausner op. cit. p.60.

might have expected him to use the term privaces or can not frare that we did, or that he would not have mend impositioning for this cance word in found in other of his writings. The second nowheation - ser programmers forther Fileton - is threaten to need some explanation as to there fileto was covernor, but a mechanic follow closeby caying that the mainly somen from John.

that they are independent of comes tradition, we know that that they are independent of remaining the continue of the comes tradition. We had the come the continue of the come that the continue of the comes that the comes the continue of the continue of

The Rosses to Corditationity. To bis lives of the fine of the first three of the first three of the first three of the first three delivery desired the first three delivery three delivery three delivery three first three delivery three of careers of careers and the kine three deal delivery three delivery

l. Quac-op.ott. p. 242.

On a dispersion to simple of months . S

de. of the odies of the standard of the best. b. st.

been in the city of Rome at the time of Claudius, yet the natural meaning of 'impulsore Chresto' is that a disturbance was caused by a Jew named Chrestus, living in Rome at this time. "It is also possible that Suetonius did not distinguish sharply the difference between Jews and Christians, and knew so little of the situation as to make his reference to it thus unintelligible." Klausner after quoting Graetz (Geshicte der Juden III, 423 n.3) who supposes that "Christus" was an apostle of Christian teacher, says "If we suppose with Graetz that Suetonius here refers to a Christian teacher, the fact that only twenty years after the death of Jesus there were to be found Christian apostles, and teachers, is itself proof not only of his existence, but also of the important effect of his personal influence."

Suetonius also has a statement to the effect that

Nero punished the Christiani who were adherents of a new

and odious superstition. This is easily understood and can
be accepted more readily than the former reference because

we are familiar with Nero's persecution of the Christians.

Pliny, governor of Bithynia, adds something to our knowledge of the spread of Christianity in his time. In 112 A.D. he writes to Trajan telling the results of an investigation of the Christians which he carried on at the

<sup>1.</sup> Case-op.cit. p.246. 2. Klausner-op.cit. p.61.

never 1 menting of 'impulsor's Cornecte' is that a disturbance of the control of

Note of the control of the Christian the sent adherent of and and can one of the sent of the formation of the control of the c

the self-size of the training to the transfer of the openion of the transfer of the distribution of the di

La Cisa op. ole, p. 366.

orders of the Emperor. "The chief point of interest in Pliny's report is the statement that he had heard in the course of his inquiries, first of all from heathen sources, that the Christians neither sacrificed to the gods, nor yet offered incense to the Emperor, and that they refused, when bidden, to curse Christus; and, secondly, from the Christians themselves upon examination, that they held early morning and evening meetings, at the former of which they sang songs of praise to Christ 'as iff to a god' (quasi deo). and made holy yows, and at the latter partook of common meals." This seems to show that Christianity had gained a strong foothold in the regions governed by Pliny. Drews objects to using this as evidence of Jesus, stating that "Jesus as an historical person is not once mentioned in the whole letter." Other writers pronounce the passage to be a Christian interpolation, but critical opinion at present is in favor of holding to its authenticity. Almost every life of Jesus, which treats of the extra-canonical sources. includes Pliny along with Suetonius and Tacitus, as furnishing us a few items of importance.

3. Testimony of Jewish writings.

we would naturally expect a fuller treatment from

<sup>1.</sup> Thobbarn - Jesus the Christ p.128.

<sup>2.</sup> Drews- op.cit. p.233.

continue and the street of the tendence there there

<sup>1.</sup> Thopburn - Josus the Christ p.128. 2. Drews- op.cit. p.235.

Jewish writings of the period, but here again we are disappointed. The Talmud, a body of Jewish Civil and Canonical law not in the Pentateuch, was in process of formation at the time Jesus lived, so we might expect to find in it some reference to Jesus. There are many statements that have been interpreted as referring to Jesus, but most of them must be ruled out, then too the rest have little historical value "since they partake of the nature of vituperation and plemic against the founder of a hated party."

However after careful analysis of the oldest manuscripts, Klausner is of the opinion that:

"There are reliable statements in the Talmud to the effect that Jesus' name was Yeshu'a of Nazareth, that he practiced sorcery and beguiled and led Israel astray; that he mocked at the words of the wise; that he expounded the Scriptures in the same manner as the Pharisees; that he had five disciples; that he said that hewas not come to take aught away from the Law or to add to it; that he was hanged as a false teacher and beguiler on the eve of the Passover, which happened on the Sabbath and that his disciples healed the sick in his name."2.

There are other references which do not stand the test of historical criticism even to the extent that the above do, but even they seem to have some value for us. If Jesus never lived, or there had been any question of his existence, why did the enemies of the Christians admit his existence and confine their opposition to

<sup>1.</sup> Klausner-op.cit. p. 18-19.

<sup>2.</sup> Op. Cit. p. 46.

-order than a sine seas and being an and the desire of the desire of the composition of t

There are many religions over the extent that the contract the contract that the contract the contract of the co

<sup>1.</sup> Stoumer-spicit. p. 18-19.

denying the claims of his unusual superiority which were advanced by the Christians? "It is a notorious fact that no Jewish teacher, or writer, has ever questioned the existence of the Jesus of the Gospels. In all their bitterest controversies with the Christians, throughout the last eighteen centuries and more, no Rabbi has even suggested that Jesus was not an historical character."

A superficial reading of the Toledoth Jehnu,

(Origens of Jesus) shows that it is nothing but follore
in which are woven Talmudic legends and go pel accounts.

The book contains no history but it has a value which may
be described as historical, for in it nothing in the
gospels was denied, it was only perverted into a source
of ridicule.

In addition, the works of Josephus and Philo are the only other Jewish writings to be preserved, and Philo in his Therapeutes does not once refer to the Christians. In Josephus' book, 'The Antiquities of the Jews', written during the last decade of the first century, we may find only two references to Jesus, and these have not been considered reliable even by Christian scholars, largely because of the manifest additions made by later Christian copyists. Klausner, however, takes

<sup>1.</sup> Thoburn- op.cit. p.149.

descript the claims of his unusual seperiority value were advenced by the Christians? "It is a notemious fact that no Jested the no writer, has ever coestioned the existence of the Jestes of the Christians, throughout the last eighteen centuries and more, so mable has even suggested that Jestes was not on instantial character."

A superficial results of the Toledoth Jenny.

(Origens of Jenus) shows that it is nothing but follows
in which are woven Talmudic legends and go hal socounts.

The book contains no bistory but it has a value which may
be described as historical, for in it nothing in the
gospels was denied, it was only parverted into a source
of ridicale.

In addition, the works of Josephus and falls are
the only other Jewish writings to be preserved, and
falls in his Therapentes does not once refer to the
distinctions. In Josephus' hook, 'the Antiquities of the
Jose', written during the lost decade of the first contuny, we say find only two references to Jasus, and these
have not been considered reliable even by Christis is
scholars, largely because of the manifest addition made

<sup>1.</sup> Thoburn- op.ots. p.149.

the position that while a portion is unreliable a portion 1. 2. can be accepted, and Thorburn is of the same opinion. The bracketed words in the following are considered to have been added to the original text.

Now there was about this time Jesus, a wise Man if it be lawful to call him a man). For he was a doer of wonderful works, a teacher of such men as receive the truth with pleasure. He drew over to him both many of the Jews and many of the Gentiles. (He was the Messiah) and when Pilate at the suggestion of the principal men among us, had condemned him to the cross, thosethat loved him at the first ceased not (for he appeared to them alive again the third day as the divine Phophets had foretold these and ten thousand other wonderful things concerning him); and the race of Christians, so named from him, are not extinct even now.

Josephus writing as a Pharisee, for the sake of the Romans, would not have wanted to say anything either favorable or detailed about Jesus or about the Christians. As this would not have been to the liking of Christians who copied the writings, they added to them. Rhees thinks that Josephus could not have been ignorant of Jesus, but after giving such an appreciative notice of John the Baptist (Ant. xviii 5.2) he could not have mentioned Jesus more fully without so me approval of his life and teaching. This would be a condemnation of his own people, whom he desired to commend to Gentile regard; he seems to have taken the cowardly course of silence concerning a matter which was

<sup>1.</sup> Op. cit. p.57.

<sup>2.</sup> Op. cit. p.95.

<sup>3.</sup> Ant. xviii 3.3( Klausner op. cit. p.56 ).

our beautiette with the fallowing are considered to the procession of the considered to the procession of the fallowing are considered to the procession to the considered to the procession that the fallowing the considered to th

sate a .accel soil this Josep and sate a seal to the same of the sate a seal to the sate of the sate o

was to anse act not a sentent to an estate of the sent and the state of the sent and the sent an

The street of

Ballotta VELT TERM . Jan . E

more noteworthy even for that generation than much else of 1. which he writes very fully.

The second mention by Josephus of Jesus says:

"So he (Ananus) assembled the sanhedrin of judges and brought before them the brother of Jesus, the so-called Christ, whose name was James, and some others. And when he had formed an accusation against them as breakers of the law he delivered them to be stoned."2.

In the opinion of Klausner there is no doubt that this is genuine. He quotes Reville who rightly urges that no Christian would write of Jesus 'who was called the Messiah;' such an interpolation would be overdone.

It must be admitted that we do not learn much from these fragmentary statements, but at least we have confirmed the existence of Jesus and his brother James, that he had been a doer of wonderful works, a teacher, and had been condemned to the cross by Pilate.

4. Testimony of Agrapha, Apocrypha, and Apostolic Fathers.

We have a group of sayings called the Agrapha or words of Jesus outside the gospels. Of these, Wernle says "There is hardly one which could enrich our knowledge of Jesus, to say nothing of the uncertainty that they are

<sup>1.</sup> Rhees- op.cit. p. 22.

<sup>2.</sup> Ant. xx.9.1. Cited by Case - op. cit. 250-251.

<sup>3.</sup> Op. cit. p.59.

to only four and too that general too then rate of the order.

three street to empleyed to de latter brones and

to nithernan and baldweens (ammana) an off"
to section and mode moded depend how deather,
now own amount tolers believed to make the section of the section and the section an

In the opinion of Manuaur there is no doubt this is genuine. He quotes neville who windthy ungos that no Christian would write of Jesus 'sho was called the resulable' such an interpolation would be overdone.

It must be admitted that we do not learn wond from their transfer outlined their fragmentary statements, but at least to have confirmed the existence of News and his hypiner James, that hy had need need a door of wanderful works, a teacher, and had been condensed to the areas by Pilate.

d. Testingery of Agreems, Accompany, and Agostolio Felicine.

In the take a group of asyings culled the Agreepin or

doubt of Joseph autistic the gospels. Of these, Jerule cave

"There is hardly one which could surial our knowledge of

Jeaus, to say nothing of the uncertainth that they are

30. d. alb. do . 5

<sup>1.</sup> Simon- on cit. p. 28.

really his." Wellhausen and Julicher as well as most reputable scholars regard them all as spurious, so it is inadvisable to make use of them.

The apocryphal gospels are all later than the Canon-ical, and are filled with legends showing the childlike faith of Christian bodies from the second century onward. There is no historical value for us in them, because if they did contain a germ of truth it would be impossible to find it in the mass of legend.

A distinction might be made between the apocryphal gospels and the uncanonical such as the gospel of Peter, of the Egyptians, of the Hebrews, and of the Ebionites, but even these, though containing more of the truth than the apocryphal gospels must be handled with care because since they were banished from the canon, they have not been preserved carefully.

The Apostolic Fathers offer us little about the life of Jesus, but the very fact, that they take the reality of his earthly existence for granted, in this respect, following the current Christian tradition, is valuable.

<sup>1.</sup> wernle-Sources for bur knowledge for the Life of Jesus p. 8.

ther no flow we emphist has newspitted ". att effect

to that the to the second of the second seco

Joseph and the first of the contract of the co

tion of fame, but the erry feet, and they take the tion of the time of the time of the time of the time of the series of the time of time of the time of time of the time of time

to entertainment out the leastledge for the late of lease

B. Value of the testimony to historicity of Jesus.l. Not contradictory to Jesus historicity.

canonical sources, we find that we have acquired but two things; (a) We have learned something of the period and environment in which Jesus lived, something of the political conditions, and some of the religious and ethical ideas which prevailed; (b) Though this is secondary and fragmentary, we can conclude from it that Jesus lived and died in Judea during the Roman occupation and that he had an exceptional personality. "All this stands out firm and irrefutable and there is no solid foundation for the doubts of Bauer, Kalthoff and Drews."

2. As Valid as evidence for other characters not questioned.

Here may we say that the evidence for Jesus about which we have gone into detail above, is fully as valid as the evidence which we have for other characters hot usually questioned. Socrates is an example of this, and here is Rousseau's judgment about the two in his Emile.

"Shall we say that the gospel story is a work of imagination? Friend, that is not how one invents; the facts about Socrates, which no one doubts, are not so

<sup>1.</sup> Klausner- Jesus of Nazareth p.70.

I won authority to listeristic of land.

1. The authority to lower that or late that or late.

1. The authority to lower that that the time of the or the or that or the or that the late of the country of the late we have accorded but the country of the that the portion of the country of the posterior of the late that the complete the first that the late that the posterior of th

2. An Welld us ovidence for other danstern

Home may we may that the nythenes for Jesus shoot or total or total or state as welled as the college of the college was unusity as welled or the college was the manual or the college of the state of the college of t

<sup>1.</sup> Financer- Jeans of Beamwell p.70.

well attested as those about Jesus Christ....
It would be more incredible that four men should have agreed to manufacture this book than that there was a single man who supplied the subject-matter for it. No Jewish authors could have hit upon its tone or its morality; the gospel has notes of reality which are so great, so striking, so absolutely inimitable, that their inventor would be a more astonishing person than their hero."

has not been doubted, it should be noted that a recent philosopher, will Durant in an article written for the American Magazine has doubted the historicity of Socrates but how far this is being accepted, I am not able to say.) Moffatt, though he admits that an expert would have put the matter more cautiously than Rousseau has done, nevertheless concludes "Rousseau .... has summed up by anticipation in these words the position on which sound criticism of the gospels is steadily converging. We would add with Klausner that those who deny his (Jesus) very existence and the great importance of his personality must deny all historic reality.

<sup>1.</sup> Moffatt-The approach to the New Testament p.167.

<sup>2.</sup> Op. Cit. p.167. 3. Op. Cit. p.70.

. C.I

the said to a standard to be a standard to the said to the standard to the said to the sai

interest acceptant to the count for the content that a recent and all the content to a count and the count of the count and the count and counter and the counter and the counter and the counter and counter and

i. nofference appround to the new Testanent p.ioT.

## B. Paul's Testimony in regard to Jesus' Historicity 1. The genuineness of the letters

The earliest Christian literature which we have handed down to us is the group of letters commonly attributed to St. Paul, as written to the various churches which he founded on his missionary journeys. While it is very generally accepted that these letters are those of Paul, nevertheless their genuineness has been questioned, so it is best that before we see what evidence they contain concerning the historical Jesus, we shall estimate such facts both external and internal which are submitted in their favor.

out for that reason, although it is readily cast aside by those who want to deny the genuineness of the letters. Clement of Rome, writing to the Corinthians in the last decade of the first century A. D. (about 95) says, "Take up the epistle of the blessed apostle Paul. What did he write to you at the time when the gospel first began to be preached? Truly under the inspiration of the spirit, he wrote to you concerning himself, and Cephas, and Apollos, because even then parties had been formed among 1. you." This refers undoubtedly to I Corinthians.

<sup>1.</sup> Clement 47:1 (Translation by Hayes-Paul and his Epistle p. 225).

D. Poul's Testings In regard to Johns Mistorial of Last of The Commission of the Last order

The and of the the calles at monthly come and the court of the court o

. until This refres and will divid to I committee ...

I. Il and AV.1 (Translation by Magent-Paul and his Epintin

Marcion mentions Paul, giving him the place, too, of leting his main scriptural authority. Ignatius, also, seems to be well acquainted with Paul's letters though this evidence is less specific. Noffatt quotes, "Ignatius must have known this epistle by heart", referring to 2.

I Corinthians.

This extra-biblical evidence is slight, still we can consider ourselves fortunate that we have this much. In the early days when manuscripts were written so laboriously, few were made, and it was easy to destroy them. Rival schools often destroyed each others books consequently, as some authors have said, "every manuscript was at the mercy of the least accidents; its preservation or destruction was merely a matter of chance."

But this external evidence, convincing as it may seem to some (inspite of its slightness) is nevertheless cast aside as spurious by those who deny the genuiness of Paul's letters; so if we would present any proof to them it must come from considerations based on the content of the literature itself. One question we must ask ourselves is--Were these letters written by Paul or do they seem to be written by an impersonator? Van Manen leads the extreme Dutch critics, saying that all Pauline epistles are pseud-

<sup>2.</sup> Moffatt-An Introduction to the Literature of the Mew Testament-P. 115.

or Liver , Inclin at sometime in the tide sended store The say their man rations on the later the days quitting a resident contra champion. When a book is written by a pseudonymous person, he will make the character of the person impersonated have the point of view of himself, and or his age in which he is then living. He would hardly put into the mouth of his hero, ideas such as are discredited at the time he is writing, and as the eschatology of Paul was not in good repute at a little later date, it seems to be a fine piece of internal evidence which we can accept in favor of Paul being the author.

Again the minute details of biography are given naturally-not artifically nor stiffly, as would be the case if invented. Of course they are meager and do not give us the whole life of Paul but we would agree with Case who thinks that the very incompleteness of the story is a credential for the genuineness of the letters.

Glover concurring in this Sentiment says that the many gaps, needless references to unknown persons, and the constant occupation with questions which we can only dimly discover from his answers; all bear testimony to their stamp of genuineness. A novel or collection of letters written merely for general reading would not be like this.

The personality of Paul that we see revealed in his

<sup>1.</sup> Case p. 52-note 1.

<sup>2.</sup> Case pp. 184-185

<sup>3.</sup> Glover-The Jesus of History-p. 8.

writing seems to ring true to reality. We see, passing through the range of human experience, an actual person, emotional-now on the heights, now in the depths-, now condemning, now flattering, influenced by his own experiences, but through it all doing a particularly vital piece of work in propagating a new religion. Such a character could hardly have been created by a later writer. "Norden, in his Kunstprose says there is much in Paul that he does not understand but he catches in him again after three hundred years that note of life that marks the great literature of Greece. That is not easily forged. Luther and Erasmus were right when they said -- each of them has said it however it happened -- that Paul"Spoke pure flame." So we would agree with Case that in view of the above, "the historicity of Paul, and the genuineness of the principal Pauline letters are supported by the data of both external and internal testi-

Granting the authenticity of the major Pauline letters we would expect, in view of the fact that Paul is the earliest literary witness to Christianity, to learn much of the person and life of Jesus. But we are doomed to disappointment; the references are few, and some who do

<sup>1.</sup> Glover-op.cit.p.8. 2. Case-op.cit.p.190.

ariting norms to rime true to reality, see see, parting, and forces, and depends of break appendence, on eather the dependence of the best of the dependence of the dependence of the dependence of the best of the dependence of th

continued the range of bread asperience, on ectual process, and continued on the bearing, now in the case of an and depther, now institution, and in the case of teners, out through it all doing a particularly vital teners, out through it all doing a particularly vital place of range is an expensive of the propagating a new realigion. Such a charm of menders are distorted by a later of the case of th

and provided outless implementate and to secondary and a transfer out to the secondary and a transfer outless of the secondary and the sec

ne Inet and some of the view of the feat that the fact of the feat the fact of the feat of

<sup>1.</sup> Clover-op. clt. p. 180.

not doubt the authenticity of the Pauline letters, nevertheless, have not been able to find in his letters any evidence that he knew of and preached a Jesus who had lived. Drews goes to the extreme in saying "no one would find such a person in them if that belief was not previously established in l. him." Is Paul so completely silent? Let us examine his letters closely to determine what facts or data he gives us in regard to Jesus.

We find a reference to his birth in the natural way,

3.

also that he came of the line of David, we learn of his

4.

1ife of obedience, his poverty, his meekness and gentle6.

7.

ness, the crucifixion, which became Paul's cornerstone

8.

for interpretation, the resurrection, resurrection appearances,

10.

the teaching of Jesus in regard to divorce, then a few

other references which show a knowledge of general teachings

11.

and events in the life of Jesus- also a specific event,

namely the last meal with his disciples, and the betrayal.

Scanty though these are, we can draw from them that Paul

knew of a human Jesus, born of a Jewish mother, suffered,

crucified and was seen after death. Not very much but

nevertheless, we cannot draw from Paul's seeming silence

that he knewnothing more. There was no need of his telling

more.

7. I Cor, 2:2. 8. Gal. 1:1.

<sup>1.</sup> Drews-The Christ Myth-p.208.

<sup>2.</sup> Gal.4:4.

<sup>3.</sup> Rom.1:3.

<sup>4.</sup> Rom. 5:19, Phil, 2:5-11.11.

<sup>5.</sup> II Cor.8:9
6. II Cor.lo:1.

<sup>.</sup> 

<sup>9.</sup> I Cor. 15:3-8. 10. I Cor. 7:10-11.

<sup>11.</sup> I Cor. 7:12,25,9:14, IThes. 4:15.

<sup>12.</sup> I Cor. 11:23 ff.

non done, one manufactor of the fields between the tends on liver of the liver, need the liver

The class of the line of the line of level, so terms of his also him to him of the of level of him of hereig. It is conserved and condition of him of hereig. It is conserved and condition of the conserved and the conserved and the conserved and the classes of the conserved and the classes. It is considered the classes. It is considered the classes. It is considered the classes, the conserved and the classes, and the conserved and conserved and the classes, and the law and the classes of the classes and the conserved and the classes and the classes and the classes are conserved and the co

. Creens-Tow Contest Nath-n. 208. V. IUGor. 2010.
C. Creens-Town 10. I Com. 7:10-1

As our loss of the contract of

He was talking to people who were near enough to the time of Jesus to know about his personal life. Paul seems to be primarily interested not in the facts of Jesus' life but rather in showing to his converts the greater meaning of his life, death and resurrection.

Paul was living in an age when the people who lived, and walked and talked with Jesus were still living. After his conversion, he had stayed in Damascus with the Christians there, some who had been considered worthy enough to demand his attention as a persecutor. He went up to Jerusalem and Barnabas took him to see Peter and the other disciples-they must have talked about Jesus because Paul would want to know about the one who had so graciously saved him. Paul worked in Antioch, and on his first missionary journey, with Barnabas who seems to have been intimately acquainted with the first disciples. This would have made it impossible for Paul to mistake the primitive belief in an anthropomorphized God for belief in an actual historical person, Thorburn says, "these visits and conferences with his brother apostles alone preclude our taking St. Paul's references to the life and death and teaching of Jesus in any mythical or merely ideal sense." Paul himself tells the Corinthians, he delivered to them

<sup>1.</sup> Case oprcit.p.197.

<sup>2.0</sup>p.cit.p.92. 3. I Cor.11:23.

He was talking to people one come enough to the illness, seek to more mount his personnel life. Paul mount of the primarily interceted not in the foots of Jeans' life had not in charter in another meaning of his life, don't man reserved to me.

his conversion, he had stayed in Demarcus with the Christnisteriful seress, Thorburn sere, "these visits and contes true bis. Per a reservoire to the life and depth and

<sup>1.</sup> Case opvote.p.107.

what he received.

Another possibility presents itself. Paul has been accused of deliberately creating this historical character. It seems strange though and hardly to be believed that he would engage in such a serious disputes as he had with the legalists— and worry about their undoing his work, when their claim that they had been personally acquainted with Jesus was all a fairy tale.

Then too, can it be imagined Paul said, "In prisons more abundantly, in stripes above measure, in death oft-of the Jews five times received I forty stripes save one, thrice I suffered shipwreck, a night and a day have I been in the deep, in journeyings often, in perils of rivers, in perils of robbers, in perils from my country men, in perils from the Gentiles, in perils in the city, in perils in the wilderness, in perils in the sea, in perils among false brethren, in labor and travail, in watchings often, in hunger and thirst, in fastings often, in cold and nakedness, and besides these things which are without there is that which present upon me daily, anxiety for all the churches. Could it be imagined that any one would go through all this for a mere fictitious Christ that he had created out of his imagination? It is hardly possible.

Those who deny the historicity of Jesus seem to think

<sup>1.</sup> I Cor.11:23.

<sup>2.</sup> II Cor, 11:24-27. of. I Cor. 4:9-1 3.

-----

The the land and the state of t

The street of the street above midges, in desire offer and the street and stree

and the state of t

A I CONTINUE TO A

but they do not bring forth definite proof that they are, again there are those who think that the letters are historical but that they have been worked over by the Christians later, and that all the passages that point to an historical Jesus are interpolations. (This shows as Case says the convenient elasticity of the critical method.) Drews attempts to explain Paul's Christianity thus-Paul had heard of a Jewish sect God, in Tarsus. It was none other than a Judaized and spiritualized Adonis cult. Paul opposed it but suddenly received enlightenment. The dying Adonis became the self-sacrificing God, surrendering his life for 2. the world. This is too highly fanciful and has too many omissions to bear much weight.

We feel that in view of the above testimony we can draw this important conclusion. Though the testimony is restricted it is very clear-Paul is a genuine personality, and the historicity of Jesus is a prerequisite to his 3. Christian life and work. While the apostle freely interpreted, and at times no doubt greatly idealized the person of Jesus, there never was a time then to deny the reality of Jesus would not have been a fatal shock to Paul's entire interpretive scheme.

1. Case-op.cit.p.73.

<sup>2.</sup> Drews op.cit.-p.187-199.

<sup>3.</sup> Case op.cit.-p.200.

administration of the control of the the needs. This is the property thought and one too many at oncelerat and describe ancientationed testinous trans-

<sup>1.</sup> Cash-op.cit.p.75.

<sup>3.</sup> Dedwa op. att.-p.187-190.

- C. The evidence for Jesus in the Synoptic Gospels.
  - 1. Radicals contend that the gospels are worthless.

we turn now to the Canonical gospels which are considered by many authorities the primary souces in giving us knowledge of the life and teachings of Jesus, though there are some who feel that they are too prejudiced to be as worthwhile as the extra-canonical evidence. In consideration of the fact that John presents a different problem than Matthew, Mark, and Luke, we will focus our attention for the present on these three which are usually called the Synoptic Gospels.

To be sure, a school of radicals, of which Bruno Bauer is a typical representative, would set aside all these gospels as being worthless and entirely unhistorical. Bauer rejected Mark saying that it could be explained on purely literary grounds, and of course, accepting the proposition that Matthew and Luke were expansions of Mark, it followed that they too must be rejected. So for Bauer and his followers, these canonical gospels, instead of proving Jesus' historicity, rather deny his existence.

2. Examination of evidence for genuineness of gospels.

What answer can be given to these radicals? Have we proof to offer that is strong enough to make reasonable a belief in the authenticity of the gospels themselves?

<sup>1.</sup> Schweitzer-The Quest of the Historical Jesus p.137-160.

d. The evidence for Josus in the symbolic Season.

ered by sum subjective the artisary sedees in giving un anothere of the life and terediage of Jerse, though there are note was feel that they are ten projudiced to be an actionalist as the extra-manufact evidence. In consideration of the feet that John broachts a different medical ten of the feet that of the property a different medical for the materials of the take, we will form a recentled the Synophic

to be some, a school of radicele, of which Bride Bager

Ar pels as being a metalous and entimely unitational language and language and entimely unitational language and proving unitational or dure to make a fine or dure to make and an ordered to ordered and the country and the ordered and or ordered the fine that the constitute that the translations of Mark, it follows that they have been expanded to repeated to the translation of proving teams. It is a proving teams.

. SI MING TO ARROW TO A CONTROL OF PROPERTY PARKS TO THE PARK TO THE PARK TO THE PARKS TO THE PA

a elderomer our of dance quests at full heller of leatent

1. converteer-ma Deser of the States for Jenus p. 13V-18D.

## a. Internal Proof.

First let us turn to the gospels themselves. Here we do not find a great deal. Not one of them, for instance, mentions an author as Peter is mentioned in the false gospel of Peter. Apart from the tradition which grew up - it would never have occurred to anybody that Matthew the publican had written our gospel of Matthew, or the gospel of Mark had been written by the companion of Paul and Barnabas on their mission.

We are glad that Luke, forkowing the Greeks, has given us his aim and plan, but even here we are not told the name or origin of the writer himself. He says:

Forasmuch as many have taken in hand to draw up a narrative concerning those matters which have been fulfilled among us, evan as they delivered them unto us, who from the beginning were eyewitnesses and ministers of the word, it seemed good to me also, having traced the course of all things accurately from the first, to write unto bee in order, most excellent Theophilus, that thou mightest know the certainty concerning the things wherein thou wast instructed.

From these four verses we learn that there were three stages in the handing down of this tradition; 1. the eyewitnesses who recorded by word of mouth what they had seen and heard, 2. those who tried to preserve what they heard by writing it down, some more accurately than others, 3. the author himself, who strives to select the best and arrange it in order.

a. Internal Proof.

First let us turn to the gospels themselves. Here we do not find a great doal. Not one of them, for instance, do not find a great doal. Not one of them, for interesting and such and such from the tradition which grew up - it would never have occurred to anybedy that Matthew the publican had written our gospel of Matthew, or the gospel of work their mission or the doans and Marmahas on their mission.

the name or origin of the writer himself. He make:

Foresmuch as many have taken in hand to draw up a nervetive concerning those matters which have been fulfilled among us, even as they delivered then unto us, who from the beginning were symmittinesses and ministers of the word, it seemed good to me also, having traced the course of all things accurately from the first, to write unto been in order, most excellent inecephilms, that thou mightest know the certainty concerning the things wherein those west instructed.

From these four verness we learn that there were three stages in the handing down of this tradition; I. the executanceses who respected by word of worth what they had seen and heard. S. those was tried to preserve what they heard by writing it down, some more appearant than others. S. the author bimealf, my strives to colect the best and arrange it in order.

This lack of definite data seems to indicate genuineness rather than otherwise, because in the case of pseudepigraphic writings, as was shown later, the authors thought to win a hearing by assigning them to the apostles. Various writers, from Tatian unto modern times, have tried to write a harmony of the gospels. This experience teaches us it cannot be done, not only because of conflicting data, and gaps in the stories, but also because of the disagreements in the time and place. when and where events occurred. At the first thought it would seem that these contradictions and omissions testify against the veracity of the gospel contents; on more thorough consideration it appears that the authenticity is strengthened by the very discrepancies which appear. This makes the gospels true to life, true to the nature of the reports of the eyewitnesses for an ewent. No two people see or report any experience the same. If they did, we would suspicion that they were writing according to pre-arranged agreement and not of things they had witnessed. Therefore these disagreements in dates. places, and details of story testify to the authenticity of the gospels rather than against them.

When it comes to the internal evidence of the gospels

places, and details of story tostily to the authorities of the for the formations of the formation there.

storage and in engative formatting of other or at home

representatives of the radical movement, who commonly ignore the external testimony to be considered below, lay great stress on the many passages to which they seem to find parallels in the heathen literature of the day. But according to Case, "No amount of parallelism ... disposes of the genuineness of these writings unless it can be demonstrated that the personal note, contained in them is not genuine, and that the idea of newness is itself fictitious" and then he concludes "In general this radical rejection of the New Testament evidence seems to rest on unreliable grounds, and is not sufficiently thoroughgoing to touch the heart of the problem.

No matter what the faults of the gospels are, and we admit that there are traces occasionally of a Jesus that might have been drawn from folklore, nevertheless, these accounts present on the whole a Jesus who is "true to life" even though he "tower to heaven". Nowhere in all literature do we find the creation of a character who even approaches Jesus.

## b. External Proof.

Several writers of the second century whose reliability is not successfully questioned bear testimony to

<sup>1.</sup> Case-Historicity of Jesus- p.68. 2. Rittelmeyer-Behold the man-p.135.

the extense to the reduct movement, who companies the time extense of the reduction to be considered below, log great time extense on the many parages to enter the test seem to find personal alies in the meany parages to enter the day. But according to the day. But according to the case, "No amount of mrediction ... disposes of the genunderses of these writings unless to can be demonstrated that the test of the time that the test of the time that the cannot be the the time that the second of the time is the time of the mean to the mean the contract of the reduction of the mean that the reduction of the mean of the mot set the mean to the time that the reduction of the means of the motion of the means of the problem.

no matter what the fruits of the gospile and, and so main that the partitions of a deright of a deright that that their folkings, nevertheless, terms seconds present on the angle a design to the present of the "tower to heave the "tower to heaven". Nowhere in all literature do so find the openion of a cherester who ever separater for the creater who

D. External Proof.

neveral writers of the second century whose relie-

<sup>1.</sup> dade-sis to william property of the man-p.135.

Trenaeus, Bishop of Lyons, in a book written about 185 A.D. is the first to expound the doctrine of the necessity of the fourfold gospel. Although his reasons are fanciful, it shows that the four canonical gospels had a position entirely by l.

about the year 150, Justyn Martyr speaks of "memoirs so he translates the word 'gospel' for readers who were not
Christians - composed by the apostles of Jesus and their
2.
companions."

Tatian, a pupil of Justyn, thought the four gospels inconvenient and incorporated them into his Diatessaron 3. about 170 A.D.

In the Muratorian Canon, the four gospels were eveldently enumerated at the beginning of the list of New 4.

Testament books.

Streeter in "The Four Gospels" gives us four pieces of evidence which seem to have direct bearing on the origin and dates of the gospels.

1. Ignatius, bishop of Antioch, on his way to martyrdom at Rome (c. 115 A.D.) wrote seven letters. In them are to be found a dozen or more reminders of material found in the

<sup>1.</sup> Hastings-Dictionary of Christ and the Gospels-p.122.

<sup>2.</sup> wernle-Sources for the life of Jesus-p.12.

Hastings-op.cit. p.122.
 Case-op.cit. pp. 205-206.

<sup>5.</sup> Streeter- pp.7-17.

the existence of the general and the high class total.

Decrees of the hop of Lyons, in a book sultren about 185 A.D.

Is the first to expound the doctrine of the recently of the four of the first to the first the first of the first the first that for all the first the first that for all the first the first that for all the first that the four canonical garden had a resident of the first total antiroly by

and the translates the word 'gospel' for readers who were not on translates the word 'gospel' for readers who were not quriestlans - componed by the appelles of Jeans and their componed by the appelles of Jeans and their componed one."

ration, a pupil of Justyn, thought the four gospels inconvenient and incon

In the Muratorian Canon, the four godpels were evertdently enumerated at the beginning of the list of New Yesterdat books.

Streeter in "The Four Cospels" gives us four pieces of evidence entite sees to have direct bearing on the origin and dates of the gospels.

I. Icnatine, dishop of Antioch, on his way to martyrdow at Rome (c. 115 A.D.) wrote seven letters. In them tro to
be found a dozen or more reminders of material found in the

<sup>1.</sup> Mestings-Blotionary of Christ and the Compels-p. 122.

S. Hastings-op.ett. p.188.

a. Cuse-op. olt. pp. 208-206.

Synoptics. Some must be from Matthew, therefore it must have been a standard work before 115 A.D.

- 2. Marcion put in his Canon our third gospel, thus by 140, Luke must have been a church classic of standing.
- 3. Eusebius, Father of Church History, (c.325) had a fortunate habit of quoting from authorities verbatim; his accuracy has been checked by those which survive, therefore justifying us in believing he can be trusted in regard to those which do not, He quotes from Papias, bishop of Hieropolis, from his Exposition of Oracles of the Lord.

"And the Elders said this also - Mark having become the interpreter of Peter wrote down accurately everything that he remembered, without however recording in order what was said or done by Christ. For neither did he hear the Lord, nor did he follow Him, but afterwards as I said, (attended) Peter who adapted his instructions to the need (of his hearers) but had no design of giving a connected account of the Lord's oracles. So then Mark made no mistake while he thus wrote down some things as he remembered them, for he made it his one care not to omit anything that he heard or to set down any false statement therein."

We also have an interesting statement from the preface of Papias' work: "And again on any occasion when
a person came in my way who had been a follower
of the Elders, I would enquire about the discourses
of the Elders, what was said by Andrew, or by
Peter or by Philip, or by Thomas or James, or
by John or Matthew or any other of the Lord's
disciples and what Aristion and the Elder John,
the disciples of the Lord say."

Synoptics. Does must be from detther, therefore it must have been a standard work before 115 A.E.

2. Engeton sut is his damon our third gospel, thus by 140, Luke sunt have been a cherron classic of standing.

5. Eusebins, Father of Church Mister, (c.235) hed

a ropturate beet of queling from subjection verbeting at securing has accuracy has been encoded by those which survive, there fore justifying us in believing he can be tracted in regard to those which do not, He cuotes from Papian, (taken of Nieropolie, from his Expention of Oracles of the Lord.

"And the laterpreser of Feter while also addressed the come acoust the come the laterpreser of Feter whole does acoust the state the remembered, sition how my mount in the test was and or done by done be the test and the later who selled the later who selled be a later the later who adapted his instructions to the need a later that no design of giving a fermion to the market of the later and account of the later and the later than the later and the later than the later and the later and the later than the later than

rege of papins' work: And again on any occasion when a person continue to a part of the continue to a part of the collower of the close, I would enquire about the discourses of the Elders, what was said by andrew, or by bottle, or by Thomas or James, or by collows or by Thomas or James, or by close or introduce or any other of the port's the disciples and what aristion end the Alder John, the disciples of the Lord say.

4. Eusebius adds a remark about Matthew - "So then Matthew composed the oracles (Ta ) o y(a) in the Hebrew language and each interpreted them as he could."

ing three conclusions; 1. the gospels were in existence before the second century, 2. they had been canonized, 3. they had been given first place in the New Testament collection.

- 3. The historical connection in which the gospel materials took shape.
- a. The Synoptic Problem.

The first three gospels, because they are all constructed on a common plan, and from first to last amid
minor differences present the teaching and work of Jesus
from the same point of view are called the Synoptic
Gospels.

After reading the gospels we see because of the many likenesses on the one hand, and the many discrepancies on the other, that the Synoptic Problem must deal with the questions: When were they written? Which was written first? Did any one know of the existence of the others? What language were they written in? What sources did they use?

nest od" - weistell Junds Wremer a obbs suidees .a.

werten od ni (high ri) datem out becomes waities

".bloom of un mod betommental date but manual."

Tron these conclusions I. the garpels were to extended in the tag three conclusions I. the garpels were to extended, before the second contary, 2. they had been conclused, 5. they had been quantified to the new Yest ment collection.

5. The historial community in which the gospel materials took shape.

a. The Symptic Problem.

The First times gotpols, because they are all constructed on a common plan, and from first to last and of Josep
minor differences present the teaching and work of Josep
from the same point of view are called the Synoptia

After mending the gorden we see because of the compact discrepdany lineasees on the one has been byened the many discrependes on the other, that the byenedia Problem must deal
with the questions: when were they written's which was
written first? Did any one know of the existence of the
others? What lenguage were they written in? What sources
did they user

A great deal of research has been done on this question.

There are many books which go into the matter in detail, but in our paper we feel that a summary of their results is all that is necessary.

- 1. The two-source theory is almost universally accepted.
- 2. Such evidence of dependence between them that the presumption is almost unavoidable that one, Mark, served as a source for the other two.
- 3. All material may be grouped into two classifications, narratives and sayings.
- 4. The narration of Matthew and Luke are so closely allied to Mark that inference is almost self-evident that they must have used as a source a gospel so similar to Mark that it could reasonably have been our Mark.
- 5. Hence Mark is best adapted to be used as a source for the other two is presumably the first or narrative source used by Matthew and Luke.
- 6. The sayings or discourse material, forming a large common portion of Matthew and Luke, suggest the second source is a document made up mainly of sayings of Jesus and referred to by scholars as Q (from the German word, quelle, meaning source). This second source gives us the well-known two-document theory.

<sup>1.</sup> This summary is taken from Class Notes - Synoptic Gospels under Prof. Lowstuter in 1927.

I. The ten-source though in about conversally managed. no hereton , breez, one falls oldenternon former of his lagrance,

-morrisacio one chai becurry od you falchier ilk . S.

tions, margariyes and serings.

. Bras we need was gislesconer block it was seen S. homes to be here adapted to be used as a mortinge

. wolld the weddlet of base oppose

d. The statem or disapress setting, forting a or one word, quelle, no cing con ast, which decond downed evened insupplement temperation and the newly

<sup>.</sup> Inte sin ary is taken from Class Notes - Synoptic Gospela . VSCI al deduction . Town applica

In addition to these two great sources, it would seem probable that Matthew and Luke had recourse to others from which they got material common to each. Harnack made a mistake by not allowing for other special sources in addition to the two main ones.

It is not our purpose and intent to dogmatize, and presume that all difficulties are settled by this theory, or to infer that no questions are left unsettled, or to assume that all such questions ever can or will be settled. Our design is just to give a resume of scholars in this field and to find a working hypothesis. This theory appeals to us as being the most reasonable yet offerred, as the one which removes most difficulties, answers most problems, leaves the smallest number of questions unanswered.

So though no one our gospels is the immediate product of an apostle, or other eyewitness, they are however based upon apostolic tradition, and at least the gospel of Mark which we have said is the oldest was written down while yet there were some living who had seen and heard the historical Jesus.

In addition to the two main ones, it would seem probable that voltiers and hume had renourse to einers from which they got material common to seem. Harmack of material common to seem appeals sources in addition to the two main ones.

It is not our numpose and intent to dogmatize, and presume that all difficulties are settled by this theory.

Or to infer that no questions are left unsettled, or to assure that all such questions ever can or will be settled.

Our design is just to give a resume of scholars in this carry design to find a working hypothesis. This theory appeals to us as being the most reasonable yet offerred, as the one which removes most difficulties, snewers most problems, leaves the smallest number of questions unan-

duct of an apostle, or other evanttness, the immediate product of an apostle, or other evanttness, they are however based upon apostolic tradition, and at least the gosmil of wark which we have said is the oldest was written down while yet there were some living who had seen and heard the historical Jesus. b. Approximate dates of the gospels.

among the more conspicuous indications of Mark's date are references to the overthrow of the Jewish nation, and the destruction of the temple in the year 70 A.D. The Jewish revolution, though a thing of the past, was not very far away, so the authorities for the most part set the date of Mark soon after 70 A.D., although there are some who place it as early as 45 A.D., and others who make it as late as 130 A.D. In Mark 13:30, Jesus is supposed to have predicted the end of the world in his own generation. This would hardly have been invented after everybody of Jesus' generation had passed away, when history itself had proved it false. So it presupposed a close chronological connection between Jesus and the writers of the tradition.

Since Matthew used Mark in substantially its present form it must have been later than 70 A.D. Ignatius knew of it so we can place it between 70 and 110 A.D. Here again our historical critics disagree. Some place it between 40 and 50 A.D. - others as late as 140 A.D. Peake says, "Nothing forbids the view that this gospel may have been written toward the close of the first century. We feel that this is the most reasonable time to place it.

<sup>1.</sup> Moffatt-op.cit. pp. 212-213.
2. Peake-A Critical Introduction to the New Testament p. 123.

Assong the more conspicuous inflosions of mark's date are references to the aventhree of the Jestsh nation, and the destruction of the termie in the year 70 A.D. The Jestsh revolution, though a thing of the past, was not very far away, so the authorities for the west part set the date of there soon after 70 A.D., sitheugh there are seen who place it as early as 45 A.D., and othere who make it as place it as early as 45 A.D., and othere who make it as place it as early as 45 A.D., and othere who make it as place it as early as 45 A.D., and othere who make it as the past of the world in ide own generation. Into a predicted the each of the world in ide own generation. Jame' generation had passed away, when history itself had amazed it false. So it presupposed a close commodesteel commodisteel alone and the writers of the tradition.

The it so we can place that then TO A.D. Ignatine knew of it so we can place it between to the city of it so we can place it between to the city interest and inc. Same place it between to and SO A.D. - others as late as late as late as late formal may have been written toreid the close of the first contury. We feel that the most respond the first contury. We feel that the most responde the tag place it.

<sup>1.</sup> Nortatt-op.oit. pp. 212-213.
2. Poske-a Critical Introduction to the New Yest amont p. 125.

It is thought that Luke used Mark, but was unacquainted with our Matthew. If that is the case, the date of the gospel must lie near the date of Matthew. Von Soden favors this conclusion - "The want of acquaintance with the gospel of St. Luke shown in St. Matthew favours the assumption that the two gospels were fairly contemporary in origin."

### c. Setting.

Mark, who is thought to be a Palestinian Jew, nevertheless has written his gospel for the gentiles, perhaps for the Romans. Tradition contends it was written in Rome, though even tradition itself is not certain that in its original form it was written there. Mark presents Jesus as a Doer off deeds, one who rises triumphant over his enemies.

Matthew, also a Palestinian Jew, writes for Jewish readers. His purpose is to give a historical and biographical background, to prove the fulfilment of Old Testament prophecy, to prove that Jesus was the true Messiah. This was probably not written as a piece of literature, but to answer the demand for something about Jesus for the Jew.

We have already stated the purpose of Luke, the beloved physician. He writes for gentile readers, attempting to show Jesus as a friend of publicans, sinners and a great humanitarian.

<sup>1.</sup> Von Soden-History of Early Christian Literature p.200. 2. Class Notes.

It is thought that hate wood Mark, but was unsequalnted with our Matthew. If that is ine case, the date of the gospel must lie near the date of Matthew. You Soden favore this conclusion - "The went of acquaintence with the gospel of St. Luke shown in St. Matthew favours the assumption that the two gospels were fairly contemporary in origin."

# o. Betwing.

Derk, who is thought to be a Palestinian Jew, nevertheloss has related his gospel for the gentiles, perhaps for the
Homens. Tradition contends it was witten in Rome, though
even tradition itself is not certain that in its original
form it was written there. Mark presents Jesus as a Dosr
of deeds, one who rises triumphant over his enemies.

Matthew, also a Releatinian Jew, writes for Jewish - readers. His purpose to to give a historical and biograph-ical background, to prove the fulfilment of Old Testament prophecy, to prove that Jesus was the true Nessiah. This was probably not written as a piece of literature, but to answer the demand for something about Jesus for the Jew.

We have already stated the purpose of Luxe, the beloved physician. He writes for gentile readers, ettempting to show Jesus as a friend of publicens, sinners and a great humanitarian.

<sup>1.</sup> von Seden-History of Early Christian Literature p.200.

So we find that the heart of the Synoptic Gospel tradition took shape among Jesus' own country men, at a time when the new religious movement was being sponsored by leaders who claimed to have been personally acquainted with Jesus.

- 4. The testimony concerning Jesus.
  - a. Representation of Jesus realistic.

Though the synoptics be admitted genuine, what can be said of their claim to know a Jesus who lived historically? Certainly these documents contain historical details about a person who lived in this world, and left traces of himself; the originality and ring of genuineness in his sayings show this. Rittelmeyer feels this and remarks, "the record persuades us that we are confronted in it by an imposing human being fully alive." The earliest fradition gives us a more realistic picture, The later tradition, taking shape when the risen Christ is being exalted, and when people were trying to make the earthly Jesus more consistent in their minds with such a divine personality, is not so realistic.

Then too, according to Mark, Jesus was not understood by his contemporaries, by his family nor by the So we find that the neart of the Symoptic Sospel tredition took shape among Jesus' own country men, at a time when the new religious movement was being aponsored by leaders who claimed to have been personally coqueinted with Josus.

4. The testimony concerning Jesus,

Though the synoption he admitted genuins, what can be said of their claim to know a Jesus who lived history to saily? Containly these documents contain bistorical details about a person who lived in this world, and left traces of himself; the originality and ring of genuineness in his sayingshow this. Sittelsoyer feels this and remarks, the record persuades us that we are confronted in it by an imposing human being fully slive." The sarliest tradition gives us a more realistic picture, The sarliest tradition, taking shape when the rises Christ is being exertical and when people were trying to make the coins savinty decreasedity, is not so realistic.

Then too, according to Mark, Jesus was not understood by his contemporaries, by his family nor by the

<sup>1.</sup> Op.cit. pp.180-184.

Twelve. Later writers and interpreters thought he should have made a greater impression, and so explained that it was because of the blindness of his followers that they faided to see the wonderful manifestations of his personality. If Jesus had been a mere figment of someone's imagination a situation would not have been created that had to be so carefully explained. Instead we would have had an idealized Jesus who constantly performed miracles, manifested no weaknesses and was perfect in all ways from the very beginning. Glover says:

"The central figure of the gospels must impress every attentive reader as at least a man of marked personality.... It is hard to imagine the possibility of his being a mere literary creation even if we should conceive a joint literary creation by several authors writing independent works. Indeed when we reflect on the character of the gospels, their origin and composition, and then consider the sharp, strong outlines of the personality depicted, we shall be apt to feel his claim to historicity to be stronger than we supposed." 3.

As the years passed, his worshipers found themselves more than once embarassed by the records, which had statements that did not seem to be creditable to the exalted
Christ, whom they were preaching. The incident that gave
the interpreters the most trouble was his baptism by
John the Baptist. Mark, Matthew and John each try to

<sup>1.</sup> Mark 8:17,21. Mark 3:21.

<sup>2.</sup> Case-op.cit. p.226.
3. Op.cit. pp. 16-17.

Trained and appears the continued the second that it have end a greater impression, and as explained that they was been even to second that they are been as the followers that they are the monderful assistant of according to the personnel.

The lease are the monderful assistant of according the large of hat they are an all they are the followers that they had the man are the followers and they are the their than the continued the man are considered that they are the their they are the second to the their they are the continued to the second they are the second to the second they are they are the second to the their they want they are the second they want they are they a

naorqui sum alequon and lo remail levance università partico de can o Jami sa na mebarer evidentes vorya linicare de can on tennata de can o linicare que sa partici de can o linicare que sa partici de can de can

the the rear the most eventually the blood thankelve core than once exhibited by the bloodight, which had share against that that the barries to be eventually to the skaling during, when the most trouble was his baptism by the largerments the most trouble was his baptism by John the haptism by John the haptism by John the haptism by

<sup>2.</sup> Sede-op.oit. p. Rept 5:21.

explain it so as to give Jesus the supremacy, but the acknowledgement of these and similar difficulties show that they were dealing with a real person, the facts of whose life did not always harmonize with the interests of primitive Christology.

b. Jesus historicity was never doubted by early critics.

As we have seen above, the early writers of the tradition brought Jesus upon the scene at a time when those who would have been his contemporaries were still living. It was also produced in the land where he was supposed to have lived and wrought his wonderful works. How utterly absurd this would have been if his character had merely been invented! Yet we have no record that his historicity was ever doubted in the first centuries. The earliest arguments and theological battles which were waged were over Jesus' resurrection, messiahship, virgin bibth and miracles, but his actual existence was accepted as a matter of course.

c. Further evidence is revealed in the character of his teaching.

Although we have no evidence that Jesus wrote anything and it is quite certain his disciples did not take notes

explain it so as to give level oursemay, but the acknowledgement of these and similar distinguishes abor that they
were dealing with a real person, the facts of whose life did
not slyave harmonize with the interests of primitive
(bristblog.

As we have seen above, the saily ariters of the traditien brought Jesus upon the squas at a time when these who
ditien brought Jesus upon the squas at a time when these who
would have been his contemporaries were still living. It
was also produced in the land where he was supposed to have
lived and wrought his wonderful works. How atterly absurd
this would have been if his character had merely been inthis would have been if his character had merely been indoubted in the first and record that his mistoriality was ever
doubted in the first antiches. The earliest arguments and
theological bestlas which were waged were over Jesus' reauniversities, westland, wirein histin and miracles, but his

c. Further syldence is revenied in the character of his teaching.

Although we have no evidence that Jesus wrote suching

on the things Jesus said, nevertheless, it is probable that the sayings of Jesus which we have are quite accurate; at least they are more so than would be expected if we moderns, who are used to depending on writing, should try to do the same thing. The oriental mind was especially trained to memorize, and accurately reproduce the words of the religious teacher. It is thus that the words of the Jewish rabbis were handed down. In addition, Jesus was a master of expression, and his striking words were more easily remembered than the words of ordinary conversationalists. "Moreover, the unconscious reaching after the effective, which inevitably influences a twice-told tale, has less scope where what is repeated is already expressed in an arresting way."

In this connection if one is inclined to doubt the ability of the disciples to remember Jesus words it may be interesting and helpful to recall Mr. Bruce Glasier's experience when writing his Memoir of William Morris as much as twenty-five years after his death.

"I have found that my memory is on many occasions subject to what seems to be a sort of 'illumination' or 'inspiration'. Thus when I have fixed my mind on one, say of the incidents recalled in these chapters the scene has begun to unfold itself, perhaps slowly at first, but afterwards rapidly and clearly. Medi-

1.Streeter-The Historic Christ - From Foundations p.84.

on the talings Joses anid, movertheless, it is probable
that the courtes of Joses wal on so have are duite courain;
at least they are done so that would be expected if we
moderns, who are used to describing on writion, whould try
to do the case thing. The oriental wind was expectally
trained to memorise, and socurately reproduce the words
of the religious teacher. It is thus that the words of
the jetical relative were handed down. In addition, Joses
was a mater of expression, and his ciribing words nore
acres easily remembered than the words of ordinary convenuetionalists. "Moreover, the unconscious reaching after the
effective, which insyltably influences a twice-told tele,
has less scope where what is repeated is already expressed
in an arresting say."

In this commention if one is inclined to doubt the phility of the disciples to remember Jesus words it may be interesting and helpful to receil Mr. Druce Cleater's experience when writing his Memoir of Fillian Borets as also as twenty-five years after his death.

"I have found that my memory is on many occasions and total till the state of the treatment remailed in these chapters the state has begun to unfold theself, perhaps slowly at first, but afterwards regulary and clearly, wedi-

<sup>1.</sup>Streeter-The Historic Gariet - From Foundations p.84.

tating upon it for a time I have lifted my pen and begun to write. Then to my surprise the conversations, long buried or hidden somewhere in my memory, have come back to me sometimes with the greatest fulness, word for word, as we say. Nay, not only the words, but the tones, the pauses and the gestures of the speaker.

Surely the sayings of Jesus were written down by the disciples in the early documents, before twenty-five years after the death of Jesus. Thus Mr. Glasier's experience helps us to imagine the process by which Jesus' words were preserved. Nevertheless the fact that there may be this element of historical uncertainty keeps us from making them legal enactments and forces us to ask "What is the spirit?" rather than "what is the letter?"

d. Existence of group of believers is a strong argument.

The existence of the Christian church, and the group of early believers is a strong argument in favor of Jesus' historicity. We must account for the Apostolic church in some way, for it is the great witness to its Foundar, and no life of Christ which fails to account for Christianity can be adequate. It has been said that a group of people would not worship one whom they had known in his human limitations, but as Case says, this faith needed an earthly Jesus as well as a heavenly Christ. They must have had

<sup>1.</sup> Gore-Jesus of Nazareth-p.207.

<sup>2.</sup> Headlam-op.cit. p.3. 3. Case-op.cit. p.234.

tating upon it for a time I have lifted to men and the conversehorum to write. Then to me surerice the conversetions, long buried or hidden sogsthers in my mentory, have come heak to we would meet the large with the meetest fulness, word for word, as we say. May, not
only tos words, but the tones, the deutes and the

Surely the sayings of Jesus were written down by the size ciples in the sarly documents, before tesuty-five years after the dosth of Josus, Than Mr. Glasier's experience helps us to imagine the process by which Jesus' words were presented. Mevertheless the fact that there may be this slower of historiael underthing weeps as from making them legal equationiael underthing weeps as from making them legal equationiael to the forces us to ask "what is the spirit" nether than and forces us to ask "what is

di existence of group of believers is a atrong argument.

The existence of the Cartetien charch, and the group of carty believers is a strong argument in favor of Jeans in interpriority. We must account for the Apostolic chunch in come ray, for it is the great situess to its Poundar, and no life of Garder which fails to escount for Christianity can be adequate. It has been said that a group of paople would not schedule one show they had known in his name limit itsitions, but as Gase ways, this faith needed an carthly limitations, but as Gase ways, this faith needed an carthly carte as well as a beaucard. They must have had

<sup>1.</sup> Gore+Jesus of Massackap, 207,

<sup>2.</sup> Headlen-op.oit. p.S.

<sup>5.</sup> case-op.git, p.236.

a high estimate of Jesus while on earth to so readily believe and have faith in the resurrected Christ.

5. Conclusion-An historical Jesus is necessary to the new religion.

The historical Jesus is necessary to the new religion, Thristianity. In no other way can we account for the zeal and ardor of the disciples, the rapid spread of the believers and the continuance of an institution through the centuries, which has moulded and dominated the mind of mankind.

The fact, that the gospels furnished the incentive power and authority for the first believers, gives us strong reason for the acceptance of their genuineness. By the middle of the second century, the chief churches must have had access to all four gospels and already regarded them as authoritative. Stanton strikes the keynote in this respect when he says, "The gospels could hardly have made their way at the early time at which they must have begun to do so, if they had not come with good credentials. There were the means still of testing their claims."

1. Stanton-The Gospels as Historical Documents- Part I p.275.

105

a night estimate of Josus while on earth to do readily helicys and have faith to the resummented Christ.

5. Conclusion-An historical Jesus is necessary to the new religion.

Institution of the disciples, the rapid served of the believers and the continuence of an institution through the continuence.

the fact, that the gospels furnished the incentive cover and actnority for the first helicvers, given as strong reason for the second century, the distr convenes. By the middle of the second century, the distr convenes are next have he' access to all four cospels and pireody reparted at the respect when he says, stanton strikes the keynote in this respect when he says, "The gospels could hardly have head that the dark the carlythme at which they must have begun to do so, if they had not come with good credentials.

1. Stanton-ine Cospels as mistoriosi Documents- Parti p.275.

D. The Gospel of John.

1. Historical character too much a matter of debate.

The Fourth Gospel was written considerably later than the first three. It is an entirely different type of document. It is reasonably certain that the author did not intend it to be a biography or a historical document in the same sense as the others. Harnack says. "The Gospel of John can in no wise be considered a historical source" and wernle says. "As an historical source. John must make room for the Synoptics. Jesus was such as the Synoptics depict him, not such as he is displayed in John." and Klausner would call it a religio-philosophical book rather than a religio-historical one. Its object is to interpret the highly exalted Jesus, consequently it passes over details in the life and death of Jesus as would appear too human. "The interpretation of the personality of Jesus made in this gospel is a revelation of the experience that men were having, as they sought, like Paul, for direct spiritual acquaintance with Jesus glorified in the heavens."

The writer of the gospel of John sets out to interpret

4. Bosworth-Life and Teachings of Jesus p.22.

<sup>1.</sup> Nuelsen-Recent Phases of German Theology p.59.

<sup>2.</sup> wernle-op. cit. p.46.
3. Klausner-op.cit. p.125.

<sup>5.</sup> There is so much controversy about the author that we feel it not wise to go into the matter seeing it will not further our thesis to any degree. Renan, though he is not considered authoritative from the standpoint of scholarship, nevertheless voices

1200

B. The Gospel of John.

1. Historical couractor too much a matter

of debate.

tien the first three. It is an antirely different type the same series as the others. Harnful says, "The Gospel of . "somes lapinojald a berabismos ad salv on at mas mich and wernle says. "La an historical source. John must make solventy and as done saw speak . meligorys and not moon then a religio-historias one, Its object is to interpret the highly exelted Jemus, consequently it passes over details isosivion Joseph and , line will , sayon well as , private

he writer of the goops of John sets out to interpret

<sup>1.</sup> Buelean-Recent Phises of Corwan Thanlory c. 56.

S. Klausver-op.oit. p.125.

<sup>4.</sup> Bosworth-Life and Teachings of Josus p.2E.

in the to say degree, house, though he is not considered and one that the say degree, house, though he is not considered antion-

the universe and sees in the historical Jesus, a bridge whereby we may cross to the Eternal; he is the Way, the Truth, and the Light. If he tells a story, it is not because it happened, rather because he sees in it something which belongs to the Eternal. For instance, in Mark, the feeding of the multitude is a striking story; John sees in it the eternal principle - Christ as the Bread of Life. Again, take the raising of Lazarus; for John it is an illustration of the application of the principle - "I am the Resurrection and 2. the Life."

Likewise, we find it hard to separate the words of John from the words of Jesus; John no doubt regarded himself a prophet, as a result, when putting down the words of Jesus, if substitutions came to him, he felt they came from a risen and glorified divine Jesus, and should be put down to help interpret the earlier sayings. Headlam feels that the teaching represents a development and has been translated into the language and forms of thought of a later time; that it is influenced by the theological ideas and expressions which grew up in the apostolic 3. church.

the opinion of a large number of reputable scholars when he summarizes the controversial aspect of it thus: "This question of authorship stands alone in literary history. I know of no question of criticism in which contrary appearances are so evenly balanced, and the mind is held more completely in suspense." (Renan - Life of Jesus pp. 474-475.

<sup>1.</sup> John 6:1-40 2. John 11:1-44

<sup>3.</sup> Life and Teachings of Jesus p. 41.

the universe and sees in the historical Jesus, a bridge where by we may erose to the Eternal; he is the the fay, the Truth, and the Light. If he tells a story, it is not because it happened, rather because he sees in it morething which believe to the Sternal. For instance, in Mark, the feeding of the multitude is a striking story; John sees in it the eternal principle - Christ as the Bress of lafe. Again, take the rate in the other of lasarus; for John it is an illustration of the application of the principle - "I am the Resurrection and the life."

Interview, we find it hand to beparate the words of John from the words of John from the words of John no doubt reported blue and self a monnet, as a result, when putiting down the words of Johns, if substitutions demo to him, he felt they came from a rises and glorified divine Johns, and should be out down to help interpret the earlier earlier earlies. Headlam feels that the tending represents a development and has been translated into the language and forms of thought of a later time; that it is influenced by the the appetent.

Should be appeared on the language which grew up in the appetent.

the opinion of a large number of reputable accolors when he suggestion the controversity as at the states "This question of such as a lone in literary mistory. I know of no position of entitles in all the controry appearances are so even balanced, and the mind is hald more completely in suspense."

I. John Sil-40

S. Life and Teachings of Joshu p. 41.

2. It adds nothing of vital importance to our subject.

We are interested though in discovering the historical Jesus, wherefore, while we believe that there are certain elements in the gospād of John which are historical, it adds nothing of vital importance to the Jesus of history, as given us in the Synoptics. After a complete and careful study of the value of the Fourth Gospel, Renan has concluded "If his material information is more exact than that of the Synoptics, his historic colouring is much less so, - so that in order to seize the general physiognomy of Jesus, the Synoptic gospels, despite their omissions and their errors, are still the most trustworthy guides."

The gospel of John is not a history nor a biography, but a book of devotion to be read like Thomas A' Kempis book, "Imitation of Christ."

<sup>1.</sup> Renan - op.cit.p.474.

2. It adds nothing of witel importance to our

The are interested though in discovering the histortoal Jeans, wherefore, while we believe that there are
centuin elements in the gospet of John which we historteal. It adds nothing of witel importance to the Jeans of
history, as given as in the Synoptics. After a complete and
centuinded "It his material information is more exact then
continued "It his material information is more exact then
that of the Symoptics, his ninterior absorbing is much less
on. - as that is order to seize the general objections
of Jeans, the ignoptic gaspels, despite their ordering addes."

The gospel of John is not a history nor a biography, but
a book of devotion to be read like Thomas A' Respite book,
"Institution of Shrist."

#### Portraits of Jesus.

A. What kind of a character have we?

Suppose we accept the fact of Jesus' historicity
the question still remains, "what kind of a character is
he?" To try to picture what he was actually like is a rather
difficult task calling for both critical insight and constructive imagination. This is true for two reasons; the
scantiness of the material from which we have to draw, and
secondly, the conflicting impressions which one receives
from the materials themselves.

One writer, as an introduction to his brief sketch of the life of Jesus says, "The firmness, clearness, and even fulness with which the figure of Jesus is delineated in the sources, and the variety of activities through which it is dramatized, do not insure that the data given should suffice for the drawing up of a properly so-called 'life of Jesus'. We wonder how he can say "even fulness with which the figure of Jesus is delineated", for the facts are that we have a rather extended account of his birth, then he all but disappears until he is twelve years of age. Again there is a silence until he is about thirty years old after which appears a rather brief disconnected, and fragmentary account of his ministry.

<sup>1.</sup> Warfield-Article on Jesus Christ-Schaff Herzog Ency. p.157.

### Portraits of dears.

suppose we accept the fact of Jesus' bistoricity
the question still remains, "what wind of a churacter is
he?" To try to picture what he was notually like is a rather
difficult tush calling for both critical insight and constraictive imagination. This is true for two reasons; the
sountiness of the material from which we have to draw, and
secondly, the conflicting impressions which one receives

One writer, as an introduction to his brief sketch of the life of Jeaus case, "The firmness, alemmess, and even falces with which the figure of Jeaus is delineated in the courses, and the variety of setivities through which it is drematiced, do not insure that the data given about the suffice for the adventing up of a properly so-called 'life of Jeaus'. We conder how he can say "even fulness with which the figure of Jeaus is delineated", for the frote tron to that we have a rather extended account of his birth, and there is a silence until he is twelve years of age.

Industry second of his winter brief disconnected, and frequentary second of his winter, brief disconnected, and frequentary account of his winter,

<sup>1.</sup> werrield-Article on Jeans Unrist-Schuff herzog Incy. p.167.

Added to this is the fact that in the documents themselves we have no consistent portrait of Jesus. Certainly the figure that moves through the Synoptic Gospels is not the same in all respects as that in the Gospel of John, the former is a more human portrait, the latter is exalted. Again, if you compare what is said about Jesus by the evangelists even in the Synoptics themselves with that which Jesus said concerning himself, it is apparent that there is a difference. Most of the emphases upon the supernatural element in the character of Vesus is to be found in what the evangelists say conderning him. For instance, no record is given that Jesus claimed a supernatural birth or pre-existence, but the evangelists claim this for him.

One can go even further than this. If you contrast what was the attitude of Jesus' followers regarding him during their fellowship with him in life, with their own attitude later, there is a difference. Even Jesus' closest disciples do not seem to realize the uniqueness of his character while they lived with him. They accepted his Cross as their defeat; Peter who lies and curses to escape from owning allegiance to a human Jesus suffers in order to preach a resurrected, divine Christ. The apostles

Added to this is the fact that in the document that the stand of least tent the stand of least tent that the stand is despeled to the stand the stand to despele the tent the stand of least tent to the stand of least tent of the stand of least tent that the stand of the stan

one one go even function that the self-. If you contract what was the stitude of Jense' followers regarding him during inche full self- with their own during inche full main in the self- own described at the self- own described and seem to realize the uniqueness of his character wails they lived with him. They accepted his drove as inche they lived with him. They accepted his from maing allegiance to a human desur suffers in order to show a series in order to show a self- or a contract of the shows a suffers in order to self- order to show a suffer in order to self- order to show a suffer in order to self- order to show a suffer in order to self- order to show a suffer in order to self- order to show a self- order to show a suffer in order to self- order to show a suffer to show a specific to show a self- order to show a specific to show

in the book of Acts certainly are different men than the same apostles previously when following the teacher from Nazareth. It becomes apparant then, that even in the gospel sources themselves there is given more than one portraiture of Jesus; here no doubt is to be found the secret of all the controveries that have raged around his person since his death.

Looking at the problem of what kind of y Jesus we have, from the viewpoint of present day thinking it would appear that we have not one but several pictures. Though there may be many deviations from, and combinations of these portraits, in the main, thinking of Jesus in terms of broad outlines, perhaps we could say there are three. These are: the traditional Jesus, the Jesus of the radical critic, and the Jesus of the liberal theologian. Let us briefly examine these three.

# B. Specific Pictures.

1. The traditional Jesus.

First, we will consider the Jesus of tradition. The key word here is Divinity. The chief emphasis lies on the thought of Christ's divinity, which is stressed usually even to the point where his human career and human character are almost completely lost.

nach new toes of Acts devaluly are different wen than

in the most of Acts deviatily are different men than the same apostles previously o'en following the teacher from the got of the same in the got of the same than the same than on particular the same than of Jesus; here no doubt is to be found the same that person that the doubt his person that the doubt his person that the doubt his person that the destination of the destination his destination whis destination whis destination of the destination

Locking at the problem of that bind of y Jenne re
have, from the vierpoint of present day thinking it would
appear that we have not one has several plotures. Though
there may be many deviations from, and combinations of these
postrates, in the rein, thinking of Jesus in terms of broad
outlines, parhaps we could say there are three. Those are a
the traditional Jesus, the Jesus of the radical critic, and
the Jesus of the liberal theologian. Let us briefly exemine
these terms of the liberal theologian. Let us briefly exemine

B. Specific Flotures.

. eysel lengtitons od? . I

First, we will consider the Jesus of tradition. The key word here is Divinity. Whe chief emphasis lies on the thought of Christ's divinity, which is stressed usually even to the point where his numen career and human oberacter are almost completely lost.

One of the earliest tendencies in Christian history is that off Docetism, which Dr. Edmund Soper describes as the "theory that Jesus Christ was not a real man at all, but only seemed to be a man". If he acted and talked like a man, it was only because he was acting a part. This tendency never actually dominated Christianity to the point where the human side of Jesus was lost, but its influence is still felt.

As the key word in the traditionalistic picture of

Jesus is Divinity so the characteristic expression of it

is always found in miracle. By miracle here, we mean an act

or event which has no counterpart or no explanation in human

or natural experience. To the traditionalist, a miracle is

a miracle precisely because its explanation can be found only

as it is unnatural and attributed to the direct act of God.

Jesus is Divine, this Divinity is attested by such miracles.

consequently to the traditionalist, Jesus was preexistent. He was sent by the Divine God to earth to assume
human form, and make atonement for human evil. He was the
fulfilment of Messianic prophecy. He was Virgin born, was a
worker of miracles, was all powerful, all wise, sinless. He
did God's will, not because he chose to do it, but because

<sup>1.</sup> What May I Believe-p.103.

One of the emilian tendencies in Christian history is that of popular, which Dr. Edward Soney describes as the "theory that Jenus Christ eas not a real can at all, but only seemed to be a man". If he soted end telest like a man, it was only because he was acting a new. This tendence denor never actually downstated Christianity to the point is still falt.

As the key word in the traditionalistic platers of the description is Divinity to the characteristic expression of it is given from a mirror of alterior, we used an act or event witch has no counterpart of no explaination in human or natural experience. To the draditionalist, a mirror is a mirror of a mirror of precisely because its explanation on be found only as it is manatural and standards to the directed by each of the direct of the direct of and only the standards of the direct of the direct of and altered by each of the direct of the d

Consequently to the traditionalist, desce was proexistent, we was sent by the Divine God to carth to assume homen form, and make atomement for bursh svil. He was the fulfillment of desciants prophesy. He was Virgin born, was a women of adreades, was all powerful, all wise, simicas. He did ded's will, not because he chose to do it, not because

<sup>1.</sup> What May I melieve-p. 105.

he was himself that will. He never grew except physically, he was perfection from the beginning. He died on the Cross, rose again on the third day with his physical body and finally ascended into Heaven where he now resides at the right hand of God, judging the world, which position he shall hold until he comes again to establish the Millenium. The record of this Jesus is to be found in the infallible Scriptures which are the direct inspiration of God.

Such is the characteristic picture of the traditionalistic Jesus. Of course, for one holding such a view there is no critical or historical problem. No critical problem because the records are perfect and complete, no historical problem because the whole thing is accepted purely on faith.

## 2. The Jesus of the Radical Critic.

The extreme and opposite picture of Jesus is painted by the pen of the radical critic. His chief and only instrument for finding Jesus is reason, expressed in scientific, historical criticism. This critical method of course, is exercised on all records pertaining to the life and works of Jesus. He comes at his work, supposedly with no preconceived notions or prejudices. He tries to let the records speak for themselves.

he was perfected that will. He never grew except obysically, he see perfection from the beginning. He died on the Green, he can be a considered for the half mire being and and the chiral flat placed and the provide he nor resides at the fight hand of and follows again to establish the cases again to establish the flat has dead of this dead of the dead of this dead of

Such is the characteristic picture of the traditionaliable forms. Of course, for one holding such a view there is no articled or historical problem. No critical problem because the because the partiest and complete, no historical problem because the whole thing is eccepted purely on faith.

S. The Jasus of the Radical Oritio.

The extreme and opposite picture of Josus is cainted by the pen of the radical critis. His chief and only lostratent for finding Josus is reason, expressed in scientific, bistorical oriticism. This critical method of course, is exercised on all records pertuding to the life and works of Josus. He comes at his work, supposedly with no presenceived notions or prejudices. He tries to let the records speak for thomselves.

The result is far from the Jesus of the traditionalist. In fact one would not recognize them as having any
relationship to each other. Sometimes the radical critic
ends with no Jesus at all, he has disappeared in the critical process as gasoline disappears in burning. In the
main, though radicals cannot agree, where there is a
Jesus left at all, he is either a mythical character,
a psychopath, or a merely human character around whose
life has sprung up these numerous legends and stories
which many Christians today accept as facts.

b. Characteristic Pictures.

(1) The Mythical Jesus.

Although Strauss never doubted Jesus' existence, he was really the one who started the line of thought which ended in denying Jesus' historicity. Strauss prepared the way for Bauer who, as we have said, having cast aside the gospels as evidence, had nothing left to show for Jesus' existence and so he concluded Jesus was merely Christianity's fictitious product.

Kalthoff, in his Das Christus Problem, presents the view that Christianity did hot have a personal founder, but

<sup>1.</sup> Case- op.cit. p.37.

. 100

The result is for from the Joses of the traditionalist. In fact one would not recognize then as naving any
relationship to each other. Sometimes the radical article
ands with no Jesus at all, he has disappeared in the eritical process as gespline disappears in burning. In the
main, though redicals dennot agree, where there is a
Jesus loft at all, he is without a reinfal character,
a psychopath, or a menely human character around spec
ille has agrung up those manerous logends and atories
which many Carletins today accept as facts.

b. Characteristic Matures.

Although Strauss sever doubted Jesus' existence, he was really the one who started the line of thought which ended in desping Jesus' historicity. Strause propered the way for Baner who, as we have said, having onst axide the gospels as swidence, had nothing left to show for Jesus' existence and so he consider Jesus was marely design existence and so he consider Jesus was marely deficitions product.

Estimat, in his Das Christus Problem, presents the

<sup>1.</sup> Case- op.cit. p.37.

1.

was merely a great social movement.

Prof. Jensen, of the University of Marburg, affirms most positively that the whole life of Christ is essentially a Jewish version of the Babylonian Gilgamesh Epos. His main contention is stated in the following words:

"Practically all of the gospel narrative is purely legendary, and that there is no reason at all to consider anything that is told of Jesus as historical.... The Jesus legend is an Israelitish Gilgamesh legend.... As a Gilgamesh legend, the Jesus legend is a sister legend to numerous particularly to most, of the Old Testament legends."

In his concluding chapter, he writes: "Jesus of Nazareth, in whom, as in the Son of God and the Saviour of the world, Christianity has believed for nearly two thousand years, and who is regarded even by the most advanced scholarship of our own day as a good and great man who lived and died the sublime pattern of the ideal ethical life - this Jesus has never lived upon earth; neither has he died, because He is nothing but an Israelitish Gilgamesh."2.

How shall we answer this? We may use two methods in doing so: one is to show the weakness of the argument by a critical comparison of the two stories - Case employs this method; the other is to reduce the argument to absurdity by similar wild assertions, wound around a familiar and well known modern figure; this is done by Bishop Nuelsen in his little book, Recent Phases of German Theology.

Case presents a series of parallels between the gospel incidents and the Gilgamesh story, but concludes that there is

<sup>1.</sup> Thorburn-op.cit. p.20.

<sup>2.</sup> Nuelsen-Recent Phases of German Theology. p.51.

.Justicent falses from a glorer and

ros. Jonesa, of the University of Harlang, affirms

most positively that the spole life of Christ is essentially
a Jost to version of the Debylonian Gilgenesh Epos. His sain
contention is stated in the following words:

"Prend at evidence is no gospe and to the vilestown?"

The condition of the condition at all to done the present and that that the condition and that the told of thems as alsteriable... The condition the condition of the part of the part of the part of the condition are the condition of the condition."

In his concluding chapter, he writes; "Jesus of Mararella, in myon, as in the Hon of God and the Maylow of the world, Christianity has believed for seerly two the world vasue, and who is regarded even by the most edvanced scholarship of our own fay as a good and great man who lived and died the subline nettern lived upon cartist while has been been he died, begause He is nothing but an Isradities of Legmesh."

How shall we maker this? We may use two methods in doing so; one is to show the reakings of the argument by a stitle of comparis on of the two stories - dass coploys this method; the other is to reduce the argument to absending by stitler wild assentions, wound around a familiar wild assentions, wound around a familiar and swill known modern figure; this is done by Bishop Muelsen in the little book, Recent Risses of German Pheology.

traidents and the Gilgamenh story, but concludes that there is

<sup>1.</sup> The bern-op. off. p.20.

no likeness between the individuals compared, that the resemblance between individual events is insignificant, and that the greatest weakness of Jensen's theory lies in his omission of large sections of both the gospel story and the Babylonian epic. Fractically all of Jesus' teaching is overlooked and his career taken as a whole has no counterpart in the epic.

"In no respect, does Jensen's hypothesis as a theory to explain the origin of the gospels without reference to a historical Jesus, seem to have any validity."

Nuclsen shows how absurd Jensen's conclusions are by applying the same principles and methods. He pictures
Macaulay's famous New Zealander, coming to America and digging in the ruins covering the spot where the Congressional Library now stands. He finds literature which says that at the beginning of the twentieth century the head of the American nation was supposed to be a strong and influential man by the name of Theodore Roosevelt. But this scholar proves that Roosevelt was not an historical person. He is merely the result of tendencies and mythological traits dominant in the American nation. For example, he is pictured with a big stick, merely a trait borrowed from the Greeks and Romans, the thunderbolt of Jupiter. Pictures show him smiling and showing his teeth; this shows a strong African influence. He is pictured

<sup>1.</sup> Case-op.cit. pp. 77-85. OF LIBERAL ARTS

no liberess between the individual compared, that the research biance between individual events is insignificant, and that the greatest ventures of Jensen's theory lies in his osission of large sections of between total carry and the Sabylonian opio. Fractically all of Josus' teaching is overlooked and his names taken as a whole has no counterpart in the epic.

"In norrosucat, does Jensen's hypothesis as a theory to explain the original team, does densen any validity."

"It is not counted to the goanels without reference to a his-

Numbers shows her notes and senses, a consideration are by applying the sense principles and senses. He platures send secondary's feacus her Senlander, cording to America and disping in the ruins covering the spot raises the Congressional Identy now stands. He finds literature which says that at the beginning of the twentieth century the best of the American setting of the twentieth century the best of the American setting and apposed to be a strong and influencial mayes the the fine and of theodora Rossayelt. Her this sensiar proves that the fine and of the sensity the result of tendencies and michological traits dominant to the sensity the sensity the sensity at the formoved from the Oreska and Rossans, the thousands of Jupiter. Pictures show his emiliar and showing the tental this shows a strong African influence. He is clotured but testal this shows a strong African influence. He is clotured

<sup>1.</sup> Cass-on. etc. pp. COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS

also as wearing a broad brimmed hat and large eyeghasses; a feature taken from Norse mythology - Woden endeavoring to pierce through the heavy clouds covering his head. Many contradictory legends have been told about him. He was a hunter and a rough rider, but also a scholar and an author. He was a leader in war, but also a peacemaker. Here we have simply the personification of prominent character traits of Americans, who at various stages in their development loved to hunt, to ride, to war, but reaching a higher type of civilization, began to study, to write, and to make peace. We know that Theodore Roosevelt was no myth, but a living fact and a tremendous power in the life of our nation.

Nuelsen concludes "And so is Jesus Christ."

J.M.Robertson has given us two books, Christianity and Mythology, and Pagan Christs, in which he tries to show that the whole gospel story is but a mixture of pagan and mythical elements. He parallels everything in the gospels with pagan mythology; for example our Jesus, the son of Mary, is nothing more than the Joshua, son of Miriam in the remarkable Arab tradition.

An American, W.B. Smith, in his book Ecce Deus, sketches not a human Jesus but a divine one. Jesus for him is originally a god, or rather the name of the one god who was revered in

<sup>1.</sup> Nuelsen-op.cit. pp. 53-57.

also as wearing a broad brismed hat and large eyeghasees a feat we taken from Morse mythology - woden endeavoring to pdence through the neavy clouds covering his head. Sany contradictory legends have been told shout him. He was a hunter and a rough rider, but also a senciar and an author. He was a leader in mar, but also a pencamber. Here we have simply the correctional floation of proximal character truits of Americans, who at vertous stages in their development loved to hunt, to ride, to war, but reaching a higher type of civilisation, began to study, to write, and to make peace divilisation, began to study, to write, and to make peace. We know that Theodore Accessed was no syth, but a living seate and a tramendous power in the life of our nation.

In the last concludes "and so is Jesus Christ."

J.E.Robertson has given us two books, Christianity and symbology, and Pagan Christs, in which he tries to show that the whole goapel story is but a mixture of organ and mythical elements. He carallels everything in the goapels with regan mythology; for example our Jesus, the son of Mary, is nothing nore than the Joshus, son of Miriam in the remarkable Arab tradition.

an American, w.B. Maith, in his book Hoor Dous, eletches not a human Jesus but a divine one. Jesus for him is originally a god, or rather the name of the one god who was revered in

<sup>1.</sup> Tuelsen-op.ott. op. 55-67.

similar cults under other names. The story that this god Jesus lived in Judea as man was but the result of giving the subject of the myth a human form.

Prof. Drews, zonsidered the most remarkable supported of the assertion that Jesus was not historical, but a myhological person, has given us the book Die Christusmythe, which he tells us has been written "preeminently in the interests of religion, from the conviction that its previous forms no longer suffice for men of today, that above all the 'Jesusanism" of historical theology is in its deepest nature irreligious, and that this itself forms the greatest hindrance to all real religious progress."

In a debate which Prof. Drews held with Prof. Von Soden. the former stated his final position under five headings:

1. Before the times of the Jesus of the Gospels there existed among certain secret Jewish sects a cult-god named 'Jesus' probably identical with an old Israelite sun-god named 'Joshua'.

2. Paul knew nothing of an historical Jesus.

3. The gospels do not contain the hastory of an actual man but only the myth of the God-man Jesus, clothed in an historical dress.

4. The important, and for all religious purposes significant, matters in the gospels, i.e. the Baptism, the Lord's supper, the Crucifixion and the Resurrection of Jesus, are all borrowed from the cult-symbolism of the mythical Jesus.

5. The 'historical' Jesus of modern critical theology has now become so vague and doubtful a figure in both theology and history that he can no longer be regarded as the absolutely indispensable condition of salvation.

3. Thorburn-op. cit. Appendix p. 305.

<sup>1.</sup> Loofs-what is the Truth About Jeaus Christ? p.6.

<sup>2.</sup> Drews-The Christ Myth. p.18.

similar cults under other neces. The story that this god one tangent of giving the same the result of giving the same of the myth a hundra form.

Prof. Draws, somethered the most required, such authorized of the absention that Josus one at his oriest, but a mybological the mesertion that Josus and his oriest, but a mybological person, has given un the book his Christuswyths, which he less use been written "preemindutly in the internate of realists us the conviction that its previous forms no longer aufities for men of today, that above all the 'Jesusanism' of historical theology is in its despest nature irreligious, and historical theology is in the despest nature irreligious, and that this itself forms the greatest bindrance to all real religious progress."

In a debate which from , Brews held with From . Von Boden.

1. Refore the times of the denut of the descript there a colt-rod named "Jesus" probably identical with an old large! ite sun-god named "Joshus".

S. Foul knew nothing of an idator word Inch .

In rostels do not contain the wast ony of an actual

d. The important, and for all religious compours all the least, the lengths, the lord on the Resurrentian of the Jenus, are all bornowed from the oult-symbolism of the mothing least.

5. The 'historical' Jesus of anders drifted theology has now became so vegue and doubtful a figure in being the regard.

theology and alst ory that he can no longer be regard.

<sup>1.</sup> Loofu-what is the Truth about Jenus Christy p.6.

<sup>3.</sup> Thoronga op. ott. Appendix p. 30s.

But the conclusions of Prof. Drews and others are by no means shared by the majority of the workers in the field of early religious beliefs and customs. In the department of mythology and religion, there is no greater authority than 1. Dr. Frazer (So says Thorburn). He says:

"The historical reality of Christ has sometimes been doubted or denied. It would be just as reasonable to question the historic existence of Alexander the Great and Charlemagne on account of the legends which have gathered around them. The attempt to explain history without the influence of great men may flatter the vanity of the vulgar but it will find no favor with the philsophical historian."

Frazer in his book The Golden Bough, goes into detail about the rites and worship of Osiris, Tammuz, Adonis, and Attis and shows how the Christian celebration of Christmas, and Easter do coincide with the festive days of these cult worshippers but he explains it thus:

"Taken altogether, the coincidences of the Christian with the heathen festivals are too close and too numerous to be accidental. They mark the compromise which the church in the hour of its triumph was compelled to make with its vanquished yet still dangerous rivals."

The world cannot always live up to the level of its great men and so the shrewd ecclesiastical leaders thought to widen the 'narpow gate' of Christianity was necessary if they were to conquer the world.

The mythical characters with whom Jesus has been equated are all vague and unreal, so different from the natural and lifelike picture given us in the Synoptic gospels. We may

<sup>1.</sup> Op. cit.p300.

<sup>3.</sup> Thorburn-op. cit. pp. 300-301/ Frazer-p. 361.

Hut the conclusions of Prof. Dress and others are by
no means shared by the majority of the worders in the field
of early religious beliefs and onstone. In the department of
mythology and religion, there is negligater sutherity than
Dr. Frazer (So says Thorburn). He says:

The historical reality of Christ has somether beng doubted or denied. It would be just as research to question the historic extatence of Alexander the Great and Cherlemagne on ancount of the logends with the history gathered around them. The attempt to explain history with the volgen but it will find no ferm with the philescopinal historian.

Present in his book two Golden Bough, good into detail about the rites and worship of Osinis, Temmus, Adonis, and Attis and shows now the Whyterian osluburation of Obristess, and and Haston do onincide with the for hy down of there out quarkingers but he explains it toks.

"Taken elterether, the coincidences of the Christian with the heathen festivals are too close and too nurerous to be accidental. They wank the composites which the church in the mour of the triumph was corpelled to make with the vaponished yet etill danger one rivals."

The world sennet always live up to the level of its
great men and so the shrewd scolesinstical lenders thought
to widon the narrow gate' of Quristianity was necessary if
they were to conquer the world.

The mythical characters with dign Jesus has been souted and are all vague and unreal, so different from the natural and lifelies plature given us in the Sycoptic gample. We may

<sup>1. 0</sup>p. all.p300.

therefore with all confidence conclude that Jesus is in the truest sense an historical personality of the period in which he is said to have lived.

(2) The Jesus of the Pathographer.

To begin with, let us not rest content in the thought that the fruits of Jesus' life would render unthinkable the possibility of mental derangement. The impact of his personality upon human history proves nothing either one way or another so far as his mental life is concerned. Speaking of abnormal minds, Bundy says, "As often it has been the case that just their traits of abnormality account for their inexhaustible energy in accomplishment." We will not doubt this, but the fact remains, we would not care to choose such a one as a guide or leader in life. At any rate we concur with Bundy in his basic conclusion that the problem of the psychic health of Jesus is to be met and solved in the sources of Jesus' words and deeds. It is precisely here that most of the pathographers fail. "From the viewpoint of the historicocritical study of the New Testament those who pathographically diagnose the case of Jesus, with the exception of Rasmussen, are unacquainted with even the more general cause and conclusions of New Testament criticism." It seems that what strength their work has, comes apparantly from their uncrit-

3. p. 269.

<sup>1.</sup> In view of the fact that the study of the mental health of Jesus lies in such a specialized field, all our quotations will come from Bundy, "The Psychic Health of Jesus."

.88.

therefore with all confidence conclude that Jesus is in the bridge to the period in which he is all to have lived.

(3) The Jesus of the Pethographer.

toron you if it av " speculations on a trans election exception to seem built even glestpeng at il . wheet here that week of conclusions of New Yorksmant ordinary" It seems that what

<sup>1.</sup> In view of the fact that the study of the mental health of

acceptance of New Testament material. There is a much better basis for making Jesus out to be a psychopath of one type or another if we are literalists in dealing with the Scriptures than there is if we are critical.

In the main, there are four pictures of Jesus from the pathographic point of view. He is asserted to be an epileptic, paranoic, ecstatic, or fanatic. Let us briefly consider each in the order given.

By epilepsy, we mean, "a pronounced chronic disorder of the central nervous system which is characterized by frequently recurring attacks of cramps attended by loss of consciousness; only one point is to be emphasized, namely that the various disturbances appear independent of objective occasions." The epileptic is likely to become depressed, pessimistic, morose, brutal, dangerous; he is egotistical, bigoted and hypocritical, or he may suffer from befogged states of consciousness with or without somatic convulsions.

Rasmussen finds in the public career of Jesus, instances of epileptic attack, for example, in Gethsemane and in the cleansing of the temple.

The answer to such is that in the first place, psychiatrists find great difficulty in diagnosing epilepsy in a living person. Since this is true, and since so few

<sup>1.</sup> Op. Cit. p.253.

<sup>2.</sup> Op. cit. pp. 254-255.

.08

accentance of New Testament material. There is a much better basin for melting Josus out to be a paychopath of one type or another if we are literalists in dealing with the Bertmares than there is if we are oritical.

In the main, there are four platures of Jeans from the pathographic coint of view. He is asserted to be an optionity, paramois, sestatio, or familie. Let us briefly consider each in the order given.

Of the central nervous system which is dispetierized by frequently recurring attacks of oreases attached by loss of the colourness; only one point is to be eminated by loss of that the vertous disturbances appear independent of objective occasions." The epileptic is likely to bedow depressed, possimistic, moreas, brutal, dangerous; he is emotibilial, bigoted and hypogritical, dangerous; he is emotibilial, states of consciousness with or without south before of states of consciousness with or without south occavious of same, instended of policy attack, for example, in Cathespans and in the objects attack, for example, in Cathespans and in the objects of carear of the temple.

payonistriets find great difficulty in diagnosing spilepsy in a living person. Since this is true, and since no few

<sup>1.</sup> on. cit. n.255. 2. oo. cit. op. 254-255.

instances are found in Jesus where it might even be suspicioned he was epileptic, it is a questionable thing to put any value on such claims. The scene in the garden cannot be capitalized because Jesus' fear, there, is not morbid, it is natural. The cleansing of the temple is a questionable foundation for such contention because the act itself is challenged from the critical standpoint. Even if we accept it, whip cords and all, the temple authorities do not object; they only request credentials for such authority. There is too little evidence to hazard even a guess that Jesus was an epileptic.

Parancia, simply described means a progressive development of delusions without mental deterioration. These delusions usually center upon the subject's personality. So far as Jesus is concerned, his egocentric expressions do not center upon himself as much as they do upon the Kingdom of God What Jesus really thought of himself remains a problem. He does not appear to be much concerned about convincing others of his exalted dignity. This is in direct contrast with what we know of the true parancic.

Again, Jesus is called an ecstatic. It is hard in a single sentence to define an ecstatic, there are so many

<sup>1.</sup> Bundy - op. cit. p.179.

<sup>2.</sup> Bundy- op. cit. pp.278-279.

inguances and found to leave where it might even he suppictored he was apilentia, it is a questioneble Wing to
not any value on such claims. The scenate the garden connot
be empitalised because Jesus' fear, there, is not morbid,
it is natural. The elementing of the temple is a questionable foundation for such contention because the act itself
is challenged from the critical standpoint. Even if we accept
to, with cords and all, the tample sutherities do not object;
they only request oredenitals for such sutherities do not object;
too little evidence to hazard even a guess that Jesus was an
epileptic.

respondly, Joses has been described as a personic.

Terencia, stooly described would a progressive development of delimions without mosts! deteriored ion. These delimions resulty center upon the subject's personality. So far an desus is concerned, his exposantil expressions do not center upon almost as anoless they do upon the Kingdow of flad that leans really knowled of himself remains a problem. We does not appear to be much concerned about convincing others of his expert to be much concerned about convincing others as most dignity. This is in direct contrast with what we know of the true paramote.

2.

Again, Jesus is dalled on contestio. It is hard in a

<sup>1.</sup> Sundy - op. cit. p.179. 2. Bindy - op. cit. pp.878-279.

forms and manifestations of it. The ecstatic usually focuses attention upon one idea which is abstract, he loses normal self-control and general sensibility, and manifests emotional excitement. Habitual ecstatics usually flee society preferring to be by themselves with their halluminations.

On these terms, Jesus cannot be an ecstatic. In all the gospels, we do not find a single instance of his emergence from a state of ecstacy, though we do of his disciples.

The only possible material is found in the account of his baptism, but here the ecstatic elements seem clearly to be an expression of the evangelists' theology and Christology rather than an experience of Jesus himself. Jesus did seek seclusion, often, but not permanently; he always returned from it to practical service. Whatever of ecstatic nature there was in Jesus seems to have resulted in composure and self-control rather than in any form of intemperance.

Once more, Jesus is sometimes called a fanatic. Here is a term which from a strictly scientific point of view is hard to determine. Fanaticism is not necessarily a symptom of mental disease. Many of the geniuses of the world have been fanatics; it is doubtful whether they would have reached their goal had they not been. At the same time, we must recognize that fanaticism is frequent-

<sup>1.</sup> Bundy - op. cit. p.260.

forms and cantions of it. The contest for most focuses

Intron upon and the abstract, he loss notions assistant and the control and continue and control and continue and control and contains for a salitation for the control contains and their salitation of the by themselves with their helicalisations.

If there terms, Jesus campot be an eastatio. In all

the got mis. We do not find a single instance of his exargence from a state of scatter, though we do of his dissiples.

The only nosuible meterial is found in the account of his baptism, but here the scatters seem clearly to be an expression of the evaluation classiff, theology and Christology and thing than an experience of Jesus himself. Josus did seek sectimion, often, but not permanently; he siver to turned from it to practical service. Shatever of see attemptance there are not not account to have resulted in see.

posure and self-dontrol rather than in any form of intempter arenes.

Once more, Jesus is so-ntimes called a Capatic. Hore is a term which from a strictly notantific point of view is hard to determine. Poneticism is not noonseerily a symptom of mental disease. Hunt, of the geniuses of the world have been femables; it is doubtful whether they would have roughed their goal had they not been, at has seen time, we must noosgaise that factorism is frequent-

ly the result of intense delusions so that persistent fanaticism arouses the suspicion of mental derangement.

Perhaps the charge that Jesus was a fanatic is the most popular among extreme critics. "From Reinhard (1781) to Wernle (1916) not one great life or character study of Jesus has left the question of fanaticism entirely untouched." Reinhard has defended Jesus against fanaticism as no other has, contending that Jesus is the founder of the religion of reason. The charge of fanaticism, so far as Jesus is concerned; rests chiefly on the contention, for instance, that his Kingdom of Heaven on earth with all that it involves, is an impossible dream.

The reply to this charge is that Jesus does not answer to the description of a fanatic except perhaps in zeal. He never, however, even with his zeal tried to force his truth on anyone as fanatics do. Jesus never spurned the common things of life, he never lost sight of the relationship he had to the rest of human kind; these things show mental balance not present in fanaticism. Reinhard sums up his defense in this statement, "The one observation that the predominant tone of his soul was not enthusiasm, not untamed zeal and tempestuous passion, but a calm rational composure which was not disturbed and interrupted by passing fits of ecstacy and fanatical fury; this single observation destroys all suspicion of fanaticism."

<sup>1.</sup> Bundy- op. cit. p.264.

<sup>2.</sup> Bundy -op. cit. p.265.

-net institute for antique de antique of interest for the companies of the companies and antique of montal decompanies.

to sends (1815) not one great life or character study of Jesus has left the question of innatials entirely untouched."

Justinery has left the question of innatials entirely untouched."

Justinery has defended Jesus against fankticism as no star has, and that the desired of the religion of resson.

In charge of fankticism, so for as desur is concerned rests dutelly on the contention, for instance, that his Kingdom of Hes

The reply to this diamed except combact in seal. He never that the description of a femalic except combact in seal. He never however, boreaver, even with his seal tried to force his truth or except as farming on the cover of the covers things of the relationship he mad to or thing the real of the covers to had to ned to the relationship he mad to the real of manual tries and the relationship he had not not the relationship to the finish that an interest in this constant, "The one observation that the reducing the test of the madeal and tespestial and the real and therrunism of the constant that the constant the seal and tespestial there; this simulations filts of eaching and piction of familialism."

<sup>1.</sup> Dundy - ap. alt. p.204.

Wernle sums up his defence in whis simple statement,

"The charge of fanaticism against Jesus collapses in the
face of his elementary principle. Whoever doeth the will of
God, shall enter onto the Kingdom of God."

sentation of a pathological Jesus, we need go no further than to quote the words of Bundy at the close of his book.

"A pathography of Jesus is possible only upon the basis of a lack of acquaintance with the course and conclusions of 2.

New Testament criticism..." That there are elements in the gospels which taken at their face value, out of context and historical setting, which give one the basis for believing in a pathographic Jesus, we will not deny. Taking Jesus' life as a whole, not in selected fragments, looking at him through records with discriminatory eyes, he is seen to be a man of sanity and sound reason.

## (3) The Purely Human Jesus.

The title here is enough to indicate what kind of a Jesus the redical critic gives us in this respect. It is a Jesus who was a man, born as we are, lived as we do, who died, and was buried. All miracle is denied, all thoughts of his supernatural person or actions are accounted for as

<sup>1.</sup> Bundy - op. cit. pp. 2559266.

<sup>2.</sup> Bundy - op. cit. p. 268.

400

The charge of Herenician applies in bile simple statement,

"The charge of Herenician applies Jesus collepses in the Till of the of Herenician applies the Till of the Single of Cod. "To the Single of Cod."

"The field content both the Kingdom of Cod."

To sun us our matimate of the extreme orbito's presentetion of a retundental Jesus, as meed to no further
than to move the words of buildy at the close of his book.
"A retungraphy of Justs is possible only upon the bashs of
a lack of acquaintance with the county upon the bashs of
you frate ent motificity..." That there are elements
in the gospele which taken at their face value, out of context and missories satting, which give one the basis for
the true of a rethorraphic Jesus, we will not demy. Design
of the through records with discriminatory eyes, he is seen
of the through records with discriminatory eyes, he is seen

(5) The Purely Bussn Jerus.

To not the region of the story to indicate what kind of a fewer the region to the manner the region of the man, being as no more. House as a dealer, and was buried, all mireals is dealer, all thoughts of the multipleas, or secondaries are secondaries as a secondaries are secondaries as an economical to an analysis.

<sup>1.</sup> Bundy - op. oit. op. dise266.

mere tradition with no actual basis in fact. Jesus is regarded as a man of unusual widdom, of unusual devotion and moral character, all on a strictly human basis. In fact, he was a good man, super only in his goodness. This does not mean perfection. He was subject to weakness, physical and mental, just as we are. Whatever he achieved, he won as we do. He was purely human. Soren Kurkegaard characterizes him as sheer man, albeit the greatest man that ever lived.

Bousset says of Jesus, that the life of our Lord did not overstep the limits of the purely human. This in brief summarizes the thought of this purely humanistic school.

Here again there is no possibility of absolute classification. No two scholars think exactly alike or give us
exactly the same picture. Any one would depart from what
we might say in some respects.

3. The Jesus of the Liberal Theologian.

Between the pictures of Jesus as given us by the traditionalist, and that given us by the radical critic, we have another which contains elements of both, but which is not radical in either extreme. We call this picture, that of the liberal theologian. Perhaps we can summarize the position of such a one best from the three great bases of contention, the gospel records, the miracles and the person of Jesus.

<sup>1.</sup> Bornemann- Jesus as Problem- p, 13. 2. Sanday- The Life of Jesus in Recent Research. p. 189.

× 443

More again those on a possibility of mondate one of the contraction of

negative of the pictures of Jenes as given in by the spirite.

The bays another which contains administ of both, but the spirite of both, but the spirite of the picture.

To not redical in alther extraor. As call this picture.

The lost redical the alther extraor. As call this picture.

The position of sean a one beat from the three great bases of the three great bases.

<sup>1.</sup> Bornserno- Jesus as Problem- p. 15. 2. Sanday- Ins Life of Journ in Rosent Hersardy, p. 188.

First, then, the gospel sources. In our statement of the Synoptic Problem, we have covered all that is necessary here. The liberal theologian does not hesitate to apply the modern critical methods. From the life of Jesus he separated all which has upon it the ear marks of legend, myth, or theological explanation. When he gets through, we have to admit that from the gospel record, there has disappeared much which has been the basis of contention between the conservative and radical schools through the years. The liberal theologian turns more to what Jesus said and did himself rather than to what has been said about him.

Referring to the miracle stories of Jesus, and the whole condideration of miracles, the turning point is to be found in the answer to the question of whether the miracle is consistent with the character of God as portrayed in the life and Teachings of Jesus. Or is it consistent with the character of Jesus himself?

The liberal does not quibble over the question of miracles because he does not rely upon them to establish for him the place of Jesus either in religion or life. In the highest sense he accepts miracles, decaaring that both what Jesus said and what he was, is the greatest miracle of all. It is because of Jesus' character, being

why make any distriction in miracles other they psylvathic cases vs natural miracles?

.80

Pinst, then, the course. In our sense of our sense of the the the the the the theologies does not nesitate to eath the here. The liberal theologies does not nesitate to eath the modern orities methods. From the life of Jesus he separates all which has upon it the continues of legend, myth, or theological expediantion. Then he gets through, he have the jame to deat these has dissonants and main that from the gospel record, there has dissonants and conserved in the best of sent and on the pears. The liberal through the testion of sent and on the years. The history that the pears to det through the years. The history that the to make the test of the testion of the test of the testion of the test of the testion of the te

describe and the alternate of minding point in the sound describe the constituent of the countries of the countries of the countries of the countries of the constituent with the constituent of the character of the it constituent that the character of Jewes interests.

the liberal does not rely upon then to exception of derectes because he does not rely upon them to establish for aim the place of Jenus sither in religion or life. To the tiphest brase he describe mirroles, declaring that both what Jenus soid and what he was, is the greatest diameter, being mirrole of all. It is headone of Jenus' diameter, being mirrole of all. It is headoned of Jenus' diameter, being

natural mu

what it was, that miracle stories could be attributed to him and believed. If Jesus performed miracles they were in keeping with God's laws, not violations of them, and were not for the purpose of demonstration but mere expressions of God's eternal will for needy humanity.

when it comes to the matter of the person of Jesus, the liberal does not claim to be on wholly certain grounds. Divinity in Jesus, established by a Virgin Birth, he rejects. In fact, a supernatural character in Jesus on the lines of traditional faith, he refuses to accept. He believes the Synoptic gospels, themselves, which are the real source of Jesus! life and character, present a Jesus, who grows into his God consciousness. The steps marking that growth are not clear, the mystery of it is not altogether to be understood, it is revealed however in the teachings and character of Jesus.

President Edmund (Soper) of Ohio Wesleyan University, at the close of his chapter on the question, "was Jesus Christ a Real Man?" quotes two litta stanzas which epitomize the belief and faith of the liberal theologian. logic of this pr

"Christ, Most perfect man, and therefore perfect God. Most perfect God. And therefore perfect man.

1. What May I Believe- p. 115.

. 88

which the way the started at a started to him to him and believed. If Jeans servicement interested they were in keep-////wat do ton othe has , mod to exclanate ton , and a'boo atte out a to ancingary with jud neiturishers to sevent adt not

> Divinity in James, established by a Virgin birth, he me jente. and appoint falls, on referent to econt. We believe the to some to respect to the transfer and the real some of

The state of the sale to the sale of especial de la serie de la ser belief and raith of the liberal theplogien.

and there's one parie of man.

<sup>1.</sup> What May I salleve- D. 115.

## SUMMARY

The whole question of the historicity of Jesus arises with, and is based upon the critical approach to Christianity and its authoritative basis. The importance of the question is seen when it is realized that in the person of Jesus is found both the authority and reason for Christianity.

The documents to be examined are the extra-canonical and the canonical. When we turn to the extra-canonical material, we find it is scanty, the matter being gathered almost entirely from certain Roman and Jewish writers, such as Tacitus, Suetonius, Pliny and Josephus; also the Agrapha and the Apostolic Fathers. From these writings we are justified in drawing certain conclusions. First that Jesus lived and died in Judea, during the time of the Roman occupation, that he was an unusual personage, and that no early pagan antagonist questioned his existence.

When we turn to the canonical sources, we find them to be three in number; the Pauline writings, the Synoptic gospels and the gospel of John.

The Pauline writings have been bitterly assailed by critics who have declared them to be pseudonomous. The extrabiblical evidence for the genuineness of the letters is slight; nevertheless, what we do have is convincing. For the most part, we have to turn to internal evidence for proof. Fortunately, here we have more adequate proof.

## YHARMELP.

The whole question of the historisty of Jeans arises with the besses to the distinctly of the besses to the standing of the contents of the description is and its authority beside that in the cerson of Jeans to found both the authority and resear the the authority and resear the the authority.

and the expendent when we turn to the extra-canonical material, we find it is enanty, the matter being gathered alone and include entirely from certain Homen and Josephus; about an attere, and a factor of the continue, filtry and Josephus; also the acrepha on the apparent in the apparent factor. From these entitings we are tust-call in drawing certain conclusions. First that the trans lived and died in Judes, during the time of the Homen occuration, that he was an unusual personage, and that no early paged antegoriat questioned his existence.

the three is mader; the Pauline writings, the Symaptic gos-

The sealing veltings have been bittery assetted by critics who have dependenced the first to be pseudoscours. The extrabiblical evidence for the goodness of the letters is silving severanteeless, what we do have is convincing. For the next part, we have to turn to internal evidence for error. Fortunately, here we have more adequate proof.

We find, for instance, that the pseudonymous claim falls before the fact that the writer expresses ideas prevalent in the first century which were discredited at the time the pseudonymous writers were supposed to appear. The details of the letters also are handled too minutely and maturally to be accredited to nameless writers of later times. Paul's personality rings true in these writings; we see here not a made-up character of the stage but an actual person.

It is true that there are few references in Pauline writings to events in the life of Jesus, but there was no necessity for them; these things were taken for granted, because they were well-known. The charge that Paul's Christianity was nothing more than an Adonis cult is absurd because so highly fanciful and incomplete. A careful examination of Paul's writings will reveal the fact that an actual Jesus is a prerequisite to Paul's Christian life and work.

The Synoptic gospels we see are the primary source of knowledge of Jesus' life and work. We recognize the fact that these records are fragmentary, that there are numerous disagreements as to time, persons, and place in their records; we recognize in them the hand of the theologian and the apologist at work: nevertheless, in them we see a core of

to find, for lostence, that the immenses ideas provebefore the fact that the writest expresses ideas provelost in the first executey with mer's discredited at the time
the pseudonymous sistems were summoned to somewr. The details
of the letters also are headled too circuity and naturally
to be audoedited to sensions evitors of later times. Paul's
personality rings true in these epitors; so see here not a
made-up obsessor of the stage last on satural person.

The Synopsia paspels we see are the univery course of conviction of Jenus life and work. We recognize the fact that these recognize the fact these records are frequently, that there are numerous disconversed as to time, persons, and place in their records; we recognize in these the the theologies and the applicates at work; novertheless, in them we see a one of

writings in which moves a Jesus who is real. The two-source theory of the scholars, arrived at by critical analysis of the Synoptic problem gives us this core of genuine work, in which we discover the real Jesus. No matter what the faults of the gospels may be, and there are many, nevertheless, they do present a Jesus who is true to life.

From the external evidence, we learn that the gospels were all in existence before the second century, that they were canonized, and that they had been given first place in the New Testament canon. Moreover, out of the gospel records, we get a group of early believers who later formed the beginning of the Christian church. This group presents a strong argument in favor of Jesus' historicity.

The gospel of John, we find to be of no vital importance to this discussion for two reasons. First its historical nature is too much a matter of debate among reputable scholars, and then, even if it be accepted, it adds nothing of vital concern to our problem.

As a result of critical evaluation of our documentary sources, we have a disagreement as to what is genuine and what is not. This leads to various portraits of Jesus, the picture depending on what is accepted and what is rejected.

The traditionalist purports to accept all the records as truth, proceeds to fasten his attention chiefly upon the

writings in which moved a desire was le real. The tro-course they are the scholars, ordived as by critical analysis of the Synoptic problem gives us this dere of genuine wast. In which we discover the real Jesus. No matter what the faults of the gospels may be, and there are same, never that each they do proceed a Jesus sho is true to life.

From the external evidence, we learn that the gospele vere canonized, and that they had been given first place were canonized, and that they had been given first place in the time has been govern; out of the gospel records, we got a group of early heliowers who later formed the beginning of the direction church. This group from the beginning of the direction of deep historicity.

the grapel of four, we find to be of no vital importance to this discussion for two reasons. First its historical nature is too much a matter of denste emong reputable achoise, and then, even if it to accepted, it adds nathing of vital accepts to our problem.

sources, we have a disagreement as to whet is genuine and what is not. This leads to warious portraits of Jesus, the pioture depending on what is sociepted and what is rejected.

and money and the transfer of accept all the records and the truth, proceeds to festen his attention chiefly upon the

emphasis of the Divine elements in Jesus and presents us with a miracle working Son of God.

The radical critic arrives at his picture with more difficulty. He may emerge with a Jesus, who is purely mythical, with one who is demented, or with a purely human Jesus.

The liberal theologian is satisfied with neither extreme view. He finds more of the human elements in Jesus, than does the traditionalist, and more of the Divine than does the radical critic. At any rate, Jesus life and personality were a natural growth such as ours is, though more complete. The God-consciousness of Jesus, he is apt to recognize but he rests content in calling it, in the final analysis, a mystery. So far as miracle is concerned the greatest miracle of all is Jesus himself who is adequate for our needs.

Finally, we have to admit that while the evidence for the historical Jesus is not as abundant as we might wish it to be, it is nevertheless, as complete as might be expected by one who understands, even in part, the historical setting and circumstances under which it has come down to us. The marvel after all, is not in the scantiness of the sources, nor in the accretion of untrustworthy matter that has come down to us with the genuine; the marvel is in the completeness of what we have and in its ability to stand the acid test, not only of wear and tear, but of scrutinizing and

endensis of the Divine Clesonts in Josus and presents no

The redical critic arrives at his picture with note difficulty. He say emerge with a Jesus, who is numery critical, with one who is depended, on sith a proper numer deems.

The liberal timelogian is extisfed with neither extract view, He finds nowe of the human elecants in Jesus, than does the traditionalist, and nowe of the Divine than alty sers a natural arrott and as own in, then the normal news, and the sad person alty sers a natural arrott and as own in, income now now on the news end of the constituence of deads, he is apt to recognize but he rests excited in calling it, in the final analysis, a systemy. So for as mirrols is endered the greatest the acts of all is deads nimes! The last deads nimes! The sate deads is adequate for our needs.

the nietorical Jesus is not as abundant as or night wish it to he. It to nevertheless, as complete as might be expected by one she widerstands, even in part, the historical satify and circumstances under which it has come down to me. The mere's after all, is not in the scantiness of the someone, nor in the secretion of unitarists of the interest and the secretion of unitarists are usual in the complete to the interest the interest of the complete to the fine complete to the fine complete to the scanting and the interest of the complete to the complete the fine complete to the complete the first of the complete the complet

demanding criticism. If the continuance of Christianity depends upon the historical reality of Jesus, we believe it is secure. Perhaps in the end, the fruits of such critical investigation will abound to the good of the Christian religion, strengthening it in its innermost part by rediscovering the real truth of its founder, the historical Jesus.

Bowlo, malter R. -- the Manton-A life of Jeous Christ. Seril

New York, 1922, Pp. 1-809.

The Pilpris Press. Boston, Daw York, Chicago,

Durkitt, P.C. recompel Distory and its Transmission, T.MT. Dis

Chicago France, Chicago, 1926, Sp. 1-207, 2nd odie

1907, Pp. 1-110.

Anndone 1909. Pp. 1-185.

Translated from the Third Dillion by G.D.Purus

Transport for Japan - the Colden Sough, Manuallan, See North, 10

Chapter, Petersthe Force of History, Association Processor to

1919- PD. 87-115.

depends once the idetorios reality of Josus, we believe depends once the idetorios reality of Josus, we believe it is assure, respect to the and, the fruits of such aritical investigation will abound to the good of the Christian or new relation, strengthening in in the instrument ours or realistories.

discovering the real truth of the foundar, the bistorical dead.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR THESIS.

- This bibliography contains references which have been found especially helpful in preparing this manual.
- Bacon, B.W. -- Beginnings of Gospel Story. Yale University Press.
  New Haven, Conn. 1909. Introduction.
- Berguer, George -- Some Aspects of the Life of Jesus. Translated by Eleanor Brooks and Van Wuck Brooks. Introduction. Harcoupt Brace and Company. New York. 1923.
- Bornemann, W.--Jesus as Problem. From the Book Jesus as Problem, Teacher, Personality and Force. Funk and Wagnall Co. New York and London. 1910. Pp. 3-64.
- Bosworth, Edward I. -- The Life and Teachings of Jesus. Macmillan, New York. 1926. Pp. 1-22.
- Bowie, Walter R.-- The Master-A Life of Jesus Christ. Scribner's, New York. 1928. Pp. 1-328.
- Bundy, Walter E. -- The Psychic Health of Jesus. Macmillan, New York. 1922. Pp. 1-269.
- Burkitt, F.C.--The Barliest Sources for the Life of Jesus.

  The Pilgrim Press. Boston, New York, Chicago. 1910.

  Pp. 1-128.
- Burkitt, F.C.--Gospel History and its Transmission. T.&T. Clark. Edinburgh. 1906. Pp. 1-133.
- Case, Shirley J.--The Historicity of Jesus. The University Of Chicago Press. Chicago. 1928. Pp. 1-297. 2nd edition.
- Case, Shirley J.--Jesus. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. 1927. Pp. 1-115.
- Conybeare, Fred. C .-- Myth, Magic and Morals. Watts and Co. London. 1909. Pp. 1-185.
- Drews, Arthur--The Christ Myth. T.Fisher Unwin. London. 19 10 Translated from the Third Edition by C.D.Burns. pp. 1-300.
- Frazer, Sir James -- The Golden Bough. Macmillan. New York. 1927.

  l volume abridged edition. pp. 324-347.
- Glover, T.R. -- The Jesus of History. Association Press. New York. 1919. pp. 87-113.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR THILDER.

This bibliography dontains references wilds with

Sacon, H.w. -- septentings of Gospel Story. Fele University Press.

Hardwer, George --Bode dapoets of the Life of Jesus, Translated.
Ty Elapor Process and Wes Tysk Brooks, Introduction.
Larcoot Great and Danner, Dog York, 1925.

Horningon, W. -- Jeans as Trail of rors . From the Wagness do Toros. Funk and Wagness do Toros. Funk and Wagness do Toros and Joseph and Joseph 1910. Fp. Segs.

dosmorth, Edward I. -- Tro Life and Teachings of Jerus. Hadellian.

Bosto, Walter R. -- The Master-A Life of Jeaus Christ. Scribner's,

Sundy, Walter L. -- The Payonne Houlton of Jones Magaillan, May York. 1982, Tp. 1-880.

Burdich, P.C. -- The Barliest Courage for the Life of Jesus.
The Filgelm Fraze. Hoston, New York, Chicago, 1910.

Berkitt, F. C .-- Gospel Blatony and its Trensmission. T.aT. Clark. Battoneryn. 1908. Pp. 1-108.

Case, Enintey J. -- The Ristoricity of Jenus. The University of Chicago From. Chicago, 1933, Pp. 1-207. Snd edition.

desc. Entries J .- - Joseph . University of Chicego Press. Chicego.

Composite, Fred. C .-- Myth. Magle and Morals. Saits and Co. Length and London. 1908. Pp. 1-108.

Prove, Arthur-The Christ Egth. T.Fisher Chris. Lendon. 19 10 Translated from the Third Edition by C.D. Surns. pp. 1-200.

Trans. bir James-The Golden Bough, Magaillan, New York, 1927.

Clover, T.H. -- The Josus of History, association Fress, New York.

## Bibliography - Continued.

- Gore, Chas .-- Jesus of Nazareth. Henry Holt and Company. New York. 1929. pp.175-211.
- Hasting's Bible Dictionary-Vol. II Scribner's . New York . 1919.
  Article on Jesus Christ.
- Hasting's Dictionary of Christ and the Gospels -- Vol II Scribner's Article on Mark.
- Hayes, D.A. -- The Synoptic Gospels and the Book of Acts. The Methodist Book Concern. New York and Cincinnati. 1919. pp.17-299.
- Hayes, D.A. -- Paul and His Epistles. The Methodist Book Concern.

  New York and Cincinnati. 1915. pp. 225-226.
- Headlam, Arthur--The Life and Teachings of Jesus Christ. Oxford University Press. London and New York. 1923. pp.1-44.
- Hudson, Thomson--A Scientific Demonstration of the Future Life.
  Twelfth Edition. McClurg & Co. Chicago. 1922.pp.144-159
- International Critical Commentary-St. Matthew -Allen. Scribner's New York. Introduction.
- Klausner, Joseph--Jesus of Nazareth. Macmillan. New York. 1925. pp.1-128.
- Loofs, Friedrich--What is the Truth about Jesus Christ? Scribner's New York. 1913. pp.1-161.
- Moffatt, James-The Approach to the New Testament. Hodder and Stoughton. London. 1921. pp.143-237.
- Moffatt, James -- An Introduction to the Literature of the New Testament. Scribner's. New York. 1915. pp.177-282.
- Nuclsen, John-Some Recent Phases of German Theology. Jennings and Graham. New York. 1908. pp. 44-74.
- Peake, Arthur -- A Critical Introduction to the New Testament. Scribner's. New York. 1921. pp. 101-124.
- Renan, Ernest--Life of Jesus. Translation revised from the Twenty-third French Edition. Little, Brown and Company. Boston. 1924. pp. 37-76, 423-478.
- Rhees, Rush-- Life of Jesus of Nazareth. Scribner's. New York. 1926. pp. 21-37.

# Athliquraphy - Continued.

- Core, Ches. -- Jesus of Managers, Menry Holt and Company, Mew York.
  - "Bating's Hible Distinary-Vol. II Sorthmer's New York, 1919.
- Basiling II lov--sleeped and the despels--vol II Sorthwards
  - Hayes, D.A. -- The Synoptic Gospels and the Book of Acts. The Mathodist Sook Concern. Hew York and Windianail.
  - Payer, D.A. -- Paul and Bis Esigles. The Methodist Book Concern.
- Headlan, Arthur-The life and Teachings of Jeans Christ, Orlows.
- Hedeson, Thomson -- Scientific Demonstration of the Puture Life.
  - futernest onal Crist and Condensate, Marches -allen. Saribner's
    - Manuscor, Joseph Jesus of Manusch, Macallian, Mer York, 1925.
- Loofs, Friedrich -- That is the Truth short Jesus Christy Seribber's
  - Moffatt, James-Mes Approach to the New Yesters, Jarlot and . Dondon, 1921, po.145-259.
  - Wolfett, Jeros-An Introduction to the Literature of the test
    - Muelson, John-Sore Recent Phases of German Cheblogs. Jewilmen und Strangen, New York, 1808, pp. 44-74.
      - Ferre, Arthur-- A Critical Tatachuetton to the New Testament.
      - Reneu, Ernewt--Liffe of Jesus. Translation revised for the ord Tesus of Tesus Witton. Little, Brown and Coursey, Boston. 1984. pp. 37-76. 420-478.
    - Auses, Hush-- Life of Jesus of Manareth. Sarlbuer's. Hew vork.

## Bibliography - Continued.

- Rittelmeyer, Friedrich-Behold the Man. Translated from the German by George Hatfield and Erich Hofacker.

  Macmillan. New York. 1929. Second Edition. pp.131-167.
- Robertson, John--Pagan Christs. Watts and Co. London. 1903. pp. 1-283.
- Sanday, William -- The Life of Christ in Recent Research. Oxford University Press. New York. 1907. pp. 37-208.
- Schweitzer, Albert -- The Quest of the Historical Jesus. Translated by W. Montgomery. Second English Edition. Adam and Charles Black. London. 1911. pp. 1-401.
- Simkhovitch, Vladimir -- Toward the Understanding of Jesus.
  Macmillan. New York. 1923. pp. 1-83.
- Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge. Funk and Wagnalls. New York and London. 1910. Article on Jesus Christ.
- Soper, Edmund -- What May I Believe? The Abingdon Press. New York and Cincinnati. 1927. pp.-102-115.
- Stanton, Vincent -- The Gospels as Historical Documents. Part I University Press. Cambridge. 1903. pp.1-277.
- Streeter, B.H.--The Historic Christ. Chapter III in Foundations Macmillan. London. 1913. pp. 75-145.
- Thorburn, T.J.--Jesus, the Christ; Historical or Mythical? T&T Clark. Edinburgh. 1912. pp.1-306.
- Von Soden, Hermann--The History of Early Christian Literature. G.P. Putnam's Sons. New York. 1906. pp. 121-200.
- Wernle, Paul--Sources of our Knowledge of the Life of Jesus.

  Translated by Edward Lummis. American Unitarian
  Association. Boston. 1907. pp. 1-163.

## Mediagraphy - Continues.

Mittolmayer, Friedrich - Sebold the Man. Translated from the Gertalman Dy George Halfield und Erich Hofuelma. Macaillan. New Yerk. 1929. Essand Edition. pp.151-167

Robertson, John-Pagen Christe, Mette and Co. London. 1908.

Sanday, sillian-The life of Christ to Macont Reserved. Oxford

Adamston, Albert. - The County of the Historial Jenus. Translated by T. Fortgomer. Second English Edition. Adam and Cortes Black. London. 1911. pp. 1-601.

Alamboritan, Vindindre--Towns the Undergranding of Jesus.

Schaff-Horacy Engralaced to Aligious Knowledge, Funk and Schaffelle on Jeans Cortex.

Soper, Edmind -- shet way I Wellayet The Abingdon Frans, Now York and Chneimnet, 1927, pp.-102-115.

Stemmon, Vincent -- The Cosmols as Historical Dosuments. Part I Daironsin Press. Cambridge. 1905. pp.1-277.

Streeter, S.H. -- The Bistonic Cartet. Chapter III in Poundations Manuallan, London, 1913, pp. 75-145.

Thorburn, T.J. -- Jerus, the Christ: Mistorical on Mythical?

Von Moden, Hermann-The History of Early Christian Literature G.v. Edunan's Sons. New York. 1906. pp. 121-200.

Wermin, Paul--Houred to opelege to the idle of Jesus.
Trenslated by Cheera Largie, American Uniterion
Association, Buston, 1907, pp. 1-165.

D. 4. D			
Date Due			
APR 1	2 1956		
	-		
Demco 293-5			





ACCOPRESS BINDER

RF 250-P7 FMR

MADE BY

ACCO PRODUCTS, INC.

